

## **GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL ATTRIBUTES OF SOYBEAN SEEDLING (*Glycine max* L.) UNDER SALINITY STRESS**

**El-Rodeny, W. M.<sup>1</sup> ; Samira A. F. H. EL-Okkiah<sup>2</sup> ; A. R. Morsy<sup>3</sup> ; E.A. elghazaly<sup>4</sup> and soad El-Sayed<sup>5</sup>**

**1, 3, 4 Food Legumes Res. Sec. Field Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res., Center (ARC)**

**2 Agricultural Botany Dept., Fac. Agric.-Kafr El-Sheikh University.**

**5 Seed Technology Res. Dep., Field Crops Res. Inst., Agric. Res., Center (ARC),, Egypt.**

**wrodeny@yahoo.com**

### **ABSTRACT**

The present study was carried out at Sakha Agric. Research Station during 2011 growing season to study the germination and early seedling growth and physiological attributes of five soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) cultivars (Toano, Giza 35, Giza 111, Giza 22 and Giza 83, beside the five breeding lines H32, DR. 101, H9L186, H6L136 and H2L78) under different levels of saline water. Two experiments were conducted first experiment on Petri dishes and the other in pots and saline water concentration, were 0, 6 ds/m 9 ds/m, 12 ds/m and 15 ds/m. The obtained results proved that the genotypes under study could be arranged into three groups according to their sensitivity to salinity stress. The first group included the genotypes DR 101, Toano, H2L78, H9L86 and Giza 83, which are the most tolerant to salinity due to the high germinability and vigorous growth to development for whole plant under all levels of salinity. The second group included H32 and Giza 111 which are moderate tolerant. The third group include; H6L136, Giza 22 and Giza 35 genotypes as susceptible genotypes under different levels of salinity. Determination of ions showed that Na<sup>+</sup> concentration increased with increasing salinity level in all of genotypes. While the K<sup>+</sup> concentration was higher in salt stress tolerant soybean plants than the susceptible ones which decreased Na<sup>+</sup> toxicity. It could be concluded that soybean genotypes Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 are salt stress tolerant due to their less Na<sup>+</sup> absorption and more Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation in root compared with other studied genotypes. The results indicated that under salt stress plant growth of cultivars Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 were better compared to the other soybean genotypes.

**Keywords:** Soybean, (*Glycine max* L.), genetic evaluation, salinity, germination, nutrient Content.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Soil and water salinity is recognized as the most important problem involved in crop plants establishment and growth. Transpiration and evaporation from the soil surface, low quality of irrigation water and lack of proper drainage are major causes of soil salinity leading to crop loss. Area of World salty land is thought to be 950 million hectares. Thus, screening of salt tolerant crop cultivars is of crucial importance (Okçu *et al.* 2005). Soybean is a member of family Fabaceae and the world foremost provider of protein and oil. It is often called the miracle crop as it contains high protein content (38–45%) as well as high oil content (20%). High sensitivity to soil and water salinity is one of the biggest problems with soybean crop. Reports have indicated that salinity affects growth and development of plants through

osmotic and ionic stresses. Because of accumulated salts in soil under salt stress condition plant wilts apparently while soil salts such as Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> disrupt normal growth and development of plant (Khajeh-Hosseini *et al.* 2003.) (Chen *et al.* 1996) reported that salt stress led to decreased seedling growth of soybean cultivars. Rogeress *et al.* 1994 suggested that salt stress decreased germination percentage of white clover. Cultivars accumulating Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> in roots and inhibited transportation of these ions to air organs were more resistant to salt stress circumstances. Salinity led to decreased dry weight of shoot and root. (Farhoudi *et al.* 2007) found that salt tolerance of canola cultivars have a direct relationship with Na<sup>+</sup> / K<sup>+</sup> ratio so that the ratio increased with the increase of salinity level but less increase is observed in tolerant cultivars, They concluded that Na<sup>+</sup> / K<sup>+</sup> ratio can be a measure of salt stress tolerance. (Farhoudi and Tafti 2011) indicated that salt stress led to decrease germination, seedling fresh weight, seedling growth and seedling K<sup>+</sup> percentage in dry matter but increase mean germination time and seedling Na<sup>+</sup> percentage. Therefore the main objective of the present work is study the germination and early seedling growth and physiological attributes of some soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) genotypes under different levels of saline water.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **Plant material and growth conditions**

Ten soybean genotypes with different background obtained from Food Legumes Research Section at Sakha Agric. Research Station (SARS). The studied cultivars were H32, (Giza 21(Crawford x Celeste) X L86 -K-73) Toano, Giza 35, DR. 101, Giza 111, Giza 22 and Giza 83, besides the breeding lines H9L186 (Toano x Kent), H6L136 (Toano x AGS 129) and H-2L78 (DR.101x Giza111). These cultivars represent different maturity groups according to the U.S. Classification, i.e. Giza 83(Maturity Group II), H32 and Giza 35 (MG III), Giza 22, Giza 111 and H2L78 (MG IV), DR. 101, H9L186, H6L136 and Toano (MG V). Seeds of these cultivars and promising lines were sown using a randomized complete blocks design with three replications. All genotypes were irrigated by five levels of saline water, 0, 6 ds/m, 9 ds/m 12 ds/m and 15 ds/m.

Two experiments were conducted, first on Petri dishes; three replicates of 20 seeds of each cultivar were germinated in 2 rolled Whatman filter papers with 10 ml of respective test solutions. The papers were replaced every 2 days to prevent accumulation of salts (Rehman *et al.*, 1996). In order to prevent evaporation, each rolled paper was put into Petri dishes of 12 cm diameter and 2 cm depth. Seeds were allowed to germinate at 20 ± 1°C in the dark for 10 days, to determine the toxic effects of the solutions on germination. A seed was considered to have germinated when the emerging radicle elongated to 1 mm. Germination percentage was recorded every 24 h for 10 days. Radicle and shoot lengths were measured on the 10th day. The second, experiment in pots was executed on the same certain soybean genotypes were grown under five concentrations of NaCl, (0, 6, 9, 12, 15 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) during 2011summer season. Soybean genotypes were grown in plastic

pots 30 cm in width and 30 cm in deep filled with 10 kg of disturbed non saline clay soil collected from the surface layer (0-30 cm) of SARS Farm. The chemical and physical properties of experimental soil are shown in Table 1.

**Table (1): Some chemical and physical properties of soil used**

Season	pH 1:2.5	ECe dS/m	Soluble Cation, meq/L				Soluble Anion, meq/L				SAR
			Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	K <sup>+</sup>	CO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	Cl <sup>-</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>--</sup>	
2011	8.05	2.00	7.5	2.3	9.9	0.3	-	2.3	9.2	8.5	4.48

**Table 1 Cont.**

Season	Total N%	Available ppm		F.C.	O.M	Particle size distribution			Text ure
		P	K	%	%	Clay	Silt	sand	
2011	1.12	6	320	40	1.6	55	23	22.6	Clayey

\* 1:2.5 Soil: Water suspension \*\* Soil paste extract

Soybean genotypes were planted on 15th May, 2011. After two weeks of sowing the seedlings were thinned to two plants/pot. The first irrigation for each pot was done with saline water. After germination, constant volume of artificially sterilized water equivalent to field capacity was used for irrigation. Five levels of saline water were used for irrigation. The artificially saline water were prepared using a base of tap water with Na and Ca at SAR = 6 by using a mixture of CaCl<sub>2</sub> and NaCl Salts.

**Assessed traits:**

Germination percentage, seedling growth traits (radicle and shoot length,) plant growth traits (root length and plant height) and physiological traits (Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> contents of the plant shoot and root).

**Na<sup>+</sup> and, K<sup>+</sup> determination:**

For the determination of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> in the seedling, one mg dry mater was placed in test tubes containing 20 ml distilled deionized water, and heated in a boiling water bath for 1 h. The tubes were then autoclaved at 120°C for 20 min and cooled. The Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> content in 15 time's diluted extract was determined by atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Ansari and Flowers, 1986)

**The statistical analysis:**

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 13 computer software package to test for significant effect of the treatments. Comparison of treatments was done by the least significant difference (LSD) at 5%.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The data in Table (2) showed that Increasing salt stress level, decreased soybean germination percentage. Under the highest salinity level, DR. 101 genotype had more germination percentage (90%) compared to the other soybean genotypes. (Chippa and Rana 1995) reported that irrigation water with EC 14ds/m significantly decreased the seed germination level of soybean. Results also showed that radicle and shoot length were significantly decreased with the increase of salinity level. The longest radicle and shoot were observed in the control treatment for toano and H9L186. Increase of

salinity level to 12dS/m and 15 dS/m treatments significantly decreased radicle and shoot length for all soybean genotypes. Radicle and shoot length of toano and Giza 111 were significantly highest among all genotypes under in 12dS/m treatment. But no significant differences in shoot length were observed among all soybean genotypes under 15 dS/m treatment. These finding are in agreement with those obtained by Wang and Shannon 1999; who mentioned that the chloride salinity has been shown to affect the rate of germination, nodulation, leaflet size, shoot height, root length, shoot and root dry weight, and seed size and weight of soybeans.

The data in Table (3) showed that increase salinity level decreased plant height of studied soybean genotypes at 50 days from sowing. The highest plant height was obtained in the control treatment and 6 dS/m while the lowest one was observed in 15 dS/m treatment. Similar results were achieved when root length was measured. The highest and the lowest root length were related to control treatment and 15 dS/m treatment, respectively. Researchers reported that accumulation of ions in plant growth environment causes osmotic and Pseudo-drought stress leading to a decrease of water absorption by plant tissues. Consequently the decrease of tissue water content results in reduction of cellular growth and development. Therefore, restriction of water absorption and its consequences for cellular growth and development is one of the most important causes of decreased growth of stem and root. Root cells have a much less turgor threshold pressure than that of stem cells thus root growth is more than stem growth under salt and drought stresses. Therefore, root is significantly less affected by salt stress than stem (Sadeghi, 2009 and Rahimi and Biglarifard, 2011).

In conclusion, the genotypes could be arranged into three groups depending on the means values. The first group included the genotypes DR101, Toano, H2L78, H9L86 and Giza 83, which are considered to be the most tolerant group to salinity with high ability for germination and to development for whole plant under five levels of salinity. The second group included H32 and Giza 111 which is moderate tolerant. The third group included; H6L136, Giza 22 and Giza 35 as susceptible genotypes accompanied with adverse effects in most traits under the different levels of salinity.

Salinity stress companied by increasing soil ions concentration and charging the ions balance decreased the germination and plant growth rate. The results of this investigation showed that salinity decreased the germination percentage, seedling fresh weight, shoot and root length of soybean genotypes. Results showed also that salt stress has increased soybean shoot  $\text{Na}^+$  content but decreased  $\text{K}^+$  content.  $\text{Na}^+$  content of shoot tissues of the genotypes Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101, was significantly lower than that of other genotypes in all treatments.).

**Table (2): Effect of salt stress on germination and seedling growth of some soybean genotypes**

Genotypes	Germination %	Shoot length	Radicle length(cm)	
H6L136	59.02	6.62	5.52	
H9L186	72.00	6.78	7.90	
Toano	80.60	7.26	8.22	
H-2L78	68.00	5.36	4.93	
Giza 35	42.94	5.00	3.78	
H32	69.68	8.48	7.50	
Giza 83	72.34	8.74	7.08	
DR. 101	92.02	7.33	8.14	
Giza 111	73.32	7.92	8.08	
Giza 22	50.66	6.56	4.90	
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.07	0.06	0.08	
<b>Salinity levels</b>				
Control (0)	73.32	10.99	8.68	
6 dS/m	70.31	7.97	6.97	
9 dS/m	69.68	7.07	6.57	
12dS/m	68.65	5.12	6.03	
15 dS/m	58.33	3.87	4.77	
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.049	0.049	0.048	
<b>G*S</b>				
H6L136	Control (0)	56.7	11.9	8
	6 dS/m	60	5.7	5.5
	9 dS/m	66.7	7.3	5.5
	12dS/m	60	4.6	4.5
	15 dS/m	51.7	3.6	4.1
H9L186	Control (0)	71.7	13.5	12.1
	6 dS/m	73.3	6.1	7.4
	9 dS/m	81.7	6.2	8.4
	12dS/m	75	4.6	7.2
	15 dS/m	58.3	3.5	4.4
Toano	Control (0)	90	12.4	12.3
	6 dS/m	93.3	6.5	6.6
	9 dS/m	86.7	8	9.3
	12dS/m	79.7	5.4	7.8
	15 dS/m	53.3	4	5.1
H-2L78	Control (0)	71.7	10.7	7.8
	6 dS/m	85	4.8	5.1
	9 dS/m	68.3	4.1	3.4
	12dS/m	75	4.3	5
	15 dS/m	40	2.9	3.6
Giza 35	Control (0)	51.7	6.9	5.6
	6 dS/m	50	4	3
	9 dS/m	28.3	5.3	2.7
	12dS/m	41.7	4.9	4.3
	15 dS/m	43.3	3.9	3.3
H32	Control (0)	65.0	11.4	8.5
	6 dS/m	76.7	12.4	8.7
	9 dS/m	75.0	8.8	7.3
	12dS/m	65.0	6.2	7.6
	15 dS/m	66.7b	3.6	5.4
Giza 83	Control (0)	66.7	13.4	7.7
	6 dS/m	73.3	11.1	8.9
	9 dS/m	81.7	9	7.8
	12dS/m	78.3	5.8	6.4
	15 dS/m	61.7	4.4	4.6
DR. 101	Control (0)	91.7	10.3	9.3
	6 dS/m	95.0	9.7	9.1
	9 dS/m	91.7	7.3	9.4
	12dS/m	91.7	5.1	6.8
	15 dS/m	90.0	4.1	6.1
Giza 111	Control (0)	78.3	10.7	9.6
	6 dS/m	78.3	11.4	9.5
	9 dS/m	66.7	8.2	8.2
	12dS/m	75.0	4.9	7.2
	15 dS/m	68.3	4.4	5.9
Giza 22	Control (0)	53.3	8.7	6.1
	6 dS/m	48.3	8	3.8
	9 dS/m	40.0	6.5	3.5
	12dS/m	61.7	5.4	5.2
	15 dS/m	50.0	4.2	4.3
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.15	0.15	0.15	

Table (3): Effect of salt stress on plant height and root length of some soybean genotypes at 50 days from sowing.

Genotypes	Plant height/ (cm)	Root length(cm)	
H6L136	17.52	10.42	
H9L186	21.2	13.72	
Toano	19.6	12.58	
H-2L78	27.77	17.6	
Giza 35	29.78	20.5	
H32	22.14	14.56	
Giza 83	17.89	9.52	
DR. 101	22.62	11.76	
Giza 111	20.61	11.78	
Giza 22	25.54	13.82	
L.S.D. (0.05)	6.27	0.047	
Salinity levels			
Control (0)	24.93	15.58	
6 dS/m	23.00	13.94	
9 dS/m	23.73	14.31	
12dS/m	23.77	13.31	
15 dS/m	16.90	10.99	
L.S.D. (0.05)	4.21	0.055	
G <sup>s</sup> S			
H6L136	Control (0)	19	12.3
	6 dS/m	23.3	11.7
	9 dS/m	19.3	10
	12dS/m	13.9	9.4
	15 dS/m	12.1	8.7
H9L186	Control (0)	27.3	17.7
	6 dS/m	13.5	12.1
	9 dS/m	24	15.3
	12dS/m	21.3	12
	15 dS/m	20	11.5
Toano	Control (0)	24.3	17
	6 dS/m	22.4	15.3
	9 dS/m	21.7	12.3
	12dS/m	15.7	9.3
	15 dS/m	14	9
H-2L78	Control (0)	30	19
	6 dS/m	25.5	18.4
	9 dS/m	19.3	16.3
	12dS/m	16.7	18
	15 dS/m	14.2	16.3
Giza 35	Control (0)	32	22
	6 dS/m	31.5	20.1
	9 dS/m	30	20
	12dS/m	29.9	21
	15 dS/m	25.6	19.4
H32	Control (0)	19	10
	6 dS/m	21.7	14.8
	9 dS/m	25	15.3
	12dS/m	28.7	20.3
	15 dS/m	16.3	12.5
Giza 83	Control (0)	22	12.7
	6 dS/m	21.4	11.4
	9 dS/m	23	12.7
	12dS/m	12	5.6
	15 dS/m	10.4	5.2
DR. 101	Control (0)	27.7	14.7
	6 dS/m	20.3	9.3
	9 dS/m	22.7	12.5
	12dS/m	23	14
	15 dS/m	19.4	8.3
Giza 111	Control (0)	21.7	13.7
	6 dS/m	23.4	11.5
	9 dS/m	24.3	15.7
	12dS/m	18	10
	15 dS/m	15.6	8
Giza 22	Control (0)	26.3	16.7
	6 dS/m	27.3	14.9
	9 dS/m	28	13
	12dS/m	24.6	13.5
	15 dS/m	21.5	11
L.S.D. (0.05)		13.261	0.2209

**Table (4): Effect of salt stress on ion percentage of soybean tissue**

Genotypes	Shoot K+ (%)	Root K+ (%)	shoot Na+ (%)	Root Na+ (%)	
H6L136	5.98	2.8	2.24	5.56	
H9L186	4.02	2.88	6.86	3.24	
Toano	4.48	2.12	1.6867	3.72	
H-2L78	7.2	2.78	6.8	7.46	
Giza 35	4.54	2	2.16	3.88	
H32	7.25	2.86	5.44	5.28	
Giza 83	7.24	2.00	2.22	4.78	
DR. 101	4.58	2.00	6.94	5.30	
Giza 111	7.20	2.80	6.06	4.48	
Giza 22	5.98	2.80	6.28	3.71	
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.07	
<b>Salinity levels</b>					
<b>Control (0)</b>					
6 dS/m	7.85	3.48	2.86	3.59	
9 dS/m	5.68	2.38	4.06	4.05	
12dS/m	4.40	1.15	6.50	5.59	
15 dS/m	2.55	1.41	7.54	6.56	
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.06	
<b>G*S</b>					
H6L136	Control (0)	9.80	4.60	0.90	4.20
	6 dS/m	8.40	3.80	1.10	3.50
	9 dS/m	5.20	3.40	2.10	4.10
	12dS/m	4.10	1.60	3.40	6.30
	15 dS/m	2.40	0.60	3.70	9.80
H9L186	Control (0)	6.10	3.90	3.50	2.80
	6 dS/m	5.30	3.30	4.10	2.40
	9 dS/m	3.60	1.80	6.30	2.90
	12dS/m	3.20	0.90	9.40	3.60
	15 dS/m	1.90	4.50	10.80	4.70
Toano	Control (0)	6.10	3.90	0.80	5.10
	6 dS/m	5.70	3.30	1.00	2.40
	9 dS/m	4.40	1.80	1.20	2.90
	12dS/m	3.90	0.90	2.20	3.60
	15 dS/m	2.30	0.70	3.20	4.70
H-2L78	Control (0)	10.80	4.40	3.50	5.10
	6 dS/m	9.80	3.90	4.10	8.30
	9 dS/m	7.40	3.20	6.30	9.80
	12dS/m	5.10	1.70	9.40	10.20
	15 dS/m	2.90	0.60	10.80	3.90
Giza 35	Control (0)	6.40	3.70	0.70	4.80
	6 dS/m	5.90	3.20	1.20	2.30
	9 dS/m	4.20	1.60	1.90	3.00
	12dS/m	3.70	0.80	3.10	3.70
	15 dS/m	2.50	0.70	3.70	5.60
H32	Control (0)	10.40	4.70	3.20	5.00
	6 dS/m	9.60	3.90	3.90	4.20
	9 dS/m	7.50	3.50	4.80	3.00
	12dS/m	5.70	1.50	6.90	6.90
	15 dS/m	3.40	0.70	8.30	7.40
Giza 83	Control (0)	10.90	3.70	0.90	2.30
	6 dS/m	9.90	3.20	1.10	3.00
	9 dS/m	7.50	1.60	2.10	3.70
	12dS/m	5.20	0.80	3.40	5.60
	15 dS/m	2.70	0.70	3.70	9.30
DR. 101	Control (0)	6.50	3.70	3.40	4.80
	6 dS/m	5.70	3.20	4.30	4.10
	9 dS/m	4.40	1.60	6.70	3.70
	12dS/m	3.90	0.80	9.50	5.60
	15 dS/m	2.40	0.70	10.80	8.30
Giza 111	Control (0)	10.80	4.60	3.00	2.60
	6 dS/m	9.80	3.80	3.70	3.00
	9 dS/m	7.40	3.40	5.60	4.20
	12dS/m	5.10	1.60	8.30	5.70
	15 dS/m	2.90	0.60	9.80	6.90
Giza 22	Control (0)	9.80	3.80	3.50	2.40
	6 dS/m	8.40	3.20	4.10	2.90
	9 dS/m	5.20	1.80	6.30	3.60
	12dS/m	4.10	0.90	9.40	4.70
	15 dS/m	2.40	4.30	10.80	5.10
L.S.D. (0.05)	0.22	0.16	0.18	0.18	

According to the results, it can be concluded that genotypes Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 are salt stress tolerant due to its low Na + absorption and high Na + accumulation in root compared with the other studied genotypes.

Additionally, the highest K + absorption by genotypes Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 may be the main factor of to salt stress tolerant during germination and seedling establishment stages in comparison to the other studied genotypes. These results have conformity with the Chippa and Rana, 1995. There is a relationship between potassium decrease and sodium increase in seedling tissue with the sensitivity to salinity. Sodium affected the cell membrane permeability, deconstructed the cell membrane and destroyed the selectivity property. Other investigations demonstrated that resistant plants to salinity not only have the lower sodium – potassium ratio in comparing with sensitive plants to salinity, but also deposited high sodium in root tissue , therefore it inhibits the sodium transmit to shoot tissue, also inhibits their damage. The results of this study showed that genotypes Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 were the highest tolerance to salinity among all studied genotypes.

In conclusion, according to the results, it could be concluded that cultivars Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 are salt stress tolerant due to its low Na + absorption and high Na + accumulation in root compared with the other studied genotypes. The results indicated that under salt stress plant growth of cultivars Toano, Giza 83 and DR. 101 were better compared to the other soybean cultivars.

## REFERENCES

- Ansari, R. and T.J. Flowers, (1986). Leaf to leaf distribution of ions in some monocotyledonous plants grown under saline conditions. In Prospects of Biosaline Research. Eds. R. Ahmed and A. San Pietro. University of Karachi, Karachi, pp: 167-181.
- Chen, D.; D.M. Yu-Renpei and R.P. Yu, (1996). Studies of relative salt tolerance of crops. Salt tolerance of some main crop species. *Actapedologica science*, 33: 121-128.
- Chippa B.R. and D. Rana, (1995). Na + /K + ratio as the basis of salt tolerance in wheat. *Australian Journal of Agriculture Research*, 46: 533-539.
- Farhoudi R.; and M. Tafti (2011) Effect of Salt Stress on Seedlings Growth and Ions Homeostasis of Soybean (*Glysin max* L.) Cultivars *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 5(8): 2522-2526
- Farhoudi, R.; F. Sharifzadeh; M. Makkizadeh and M., Kochakpour, (2007). The effects of NaCl priming on salt tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus*) seedlings grown under
- Jalali M. H. Merikhpour; M. J. Kaledhonkar and S.E.A.T.M. Van Der Zee (2008). Effects of waste water irrigation on soil sodicity and nutrient leaching in calcareous soils. *Agricultural water management vol.*, 95 Issue (2) PP. 143-153.
- Khajeh-Hosseini, M.; A.A. Powell and I.J. Bingham, (2003).The interaction between salinity stress and seed vigour during germination of soybean seeds. *Seed Science and Technology*, 31: 715–725
- Okçu, G., M.D. Kaya and M. Atak, (2005). Effects of salt and drought stresses on germination and seedling growth of pea (*Pisum sativum*L.). *Turk. J. Agric. For*, 29(4): 237-242.
- Sadeghi, H., (2009). Effects of Different Levels of Sodium Chloride on Yield and Chemical Composition in Two Barley Cultivars, *Am.-Eurasian J. Sustain. Agric.*, 3(3): 314-320.



- Rahimi, A and A. Biglarifard, (2011). Impacts of NaCl Stress on Proline, Soluble Sugars, Photosynthetic Pigments and Chlorophyll Florescence of Strawberry. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, 5(4): 617-623
- Rehman, S., P.J.C. Harris, W.F. Bourne and J. Wilkin, (1996). The effect of sodium chloride on germination and the potassium and calcium content of Acacis seeds. *Seed Sci. and Technol.*, 25: 45-57
- Rogress, M.E., C.L. Noble, G.M. Halloran and M.E. Nicolas. (1994) The effect of NaCl on the germination and early seedling growth of white clover (*Trifolium repens*) population selected for high and low tolerance. *Seed science and technology*, 23: 277-287.
- Wang, D. and M.C., Shannon, (1999). Emergence and seedling growth of soybean cultivars and maturity groups under salinity. *Plant Soil*, 214: 117-124.
- Zayed B.A. (2002). Performance of some rice cultivars as affected by irrigation and potassium fertilizer treatment under saline soil conditions. PhD thesis, agron. Dept. Fac. of Agric. Mansoura Univ., Egypt.

**النمو والصفات الفسيولوجية لبادرات فول الصويا تحت اجهاد الملوحة**  
**وليد محمد الرضيني \* - سميره احمد فؤاد حسن العكيه \*\* - اكرم رشاد المرسي \* - السيد عباس الغزالي \* - سعاد السيد\*\*\***

**\*قسم المحاصيل البقوليه - معهد المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعيه - مصر**

**\*\*قسم النبات الزراعي - كلية الزراعة - جامعه كفر الشيخ - مصر**

**\*\*\*قسم تكنولوجيا البذور - معهد المحاصيل الحقلية - مركز البحوث الزراعيه - مصر**

أجرى هذا البحث في "محطة البحوث الزراعية سخا" خلال موسم النمو 2011 لدراسة الانبات والنمو المبكر للبدرات والصفات الفسيولوجية لخمسة اصناف من اصناف فول الصويا ذات صفات وراثيه مختلفه من قسم البقوليات بمحطة البحوث الزراعيه بسخا وهذه الاصناف هي ، توانو، جيزة 35، وجيزه 111، جيزة 22 والجيزة 83، إلى جانب خمسة سلالات تربية H6L136 و H9L186 و H32 Dr.101 و H2L78 تحت مستويات مختلفه من الماء المالح وقد تم تنفيذ تجربتين الاولى في اطياف بتري والاخرى في اصص و تم استخدام خمس مستويات من الملوحة هي 0، 6، 9، 12، 15 ديسميتر. واطهرت النتائج المتحصل عليها انه امكن ترتيب التراكيب الوراثيه تحت الدراسه في ثلاث مجموعات بناء على تحملها الى مستويات الملوحة وكانت كالتالي المجموعه الاولى وشملت التراكيب الوراثيه الاتيه DR 101 و توانو و H2L78 و H9L86 جيزه 83 واعتبرت هذه المجموعه مقاومه للملوحة وينعكس ذلك مع قدرتها العاليه على الانبات والتطور في النمو على مستوى وتحت مستويات الملوحة. اما المجموعه الثانيه وتشمل كل من H32 و جيزه 111 وهي تعتبر متوسطه المقاومه للملوحة والمجموعه الثالثه وتشمل H6L136 و جيزه 22 و جيزه 35 وهي تعتبر اصناف حساسه للملوحة. وتقدير الايونات اوضح ان تركيز الصوديوم يزيد مع زيادة مستوى الملوحة وذلك بالنسبه لجميع الاصناف تحت الدراسه كما لوحظ ان تركيز البوتاسيوم في اصناف فول الصويا المقاومه للملوحة كان اعلى من الاصناف الحساسه مما ادى الى انخفاض سمية الصوديوم. ويمكن تلخيص ذلك فيما يلي اصناف توانو و جيزة 83 و DR 101 تعتبر من الاصناف المقاومه للملوحة بسبب قله امتصاص الصوديوم بها ويكون أكثر تراكم لهذا العنصر في جذور هذه الاصناف مقارنة مع الاصناف الأخرى قيد الدراسه. كما لوحظ ان نمو هذه الاصناف (توانو و جيزة 83 و DR 101) تحت اجهاد الملوحة كان افضل من نمو باقى الاصناف الاخرى.

**قام بتحكيم البحث**

**كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة**  
**مركز البحوث الزراعية**

**أ.د / احمد نادر السيد عطيه**  
**أ.د / محمد عبد الرحمن البرعى**