



IMPACT OF NFERTILIZER RATE ON BARELY (HORDUM VULGARE) IRRIGATED WITH MAGNETIZED AND NON-MAGNETIZED SALINE WATER WITH APPLICATION OF ^{15}N STABLE ISOTOPE

A. A. Moursy and A. H. El-Kholy

Atomic Energy Authority, Nuclear Research Center, Soil and Water Research Department, Abou-Zaabl, 13759, Egypt

Received: Nov. 23, 2019

Accepted: Dec. 8, 2019

ABSTRACT: *The experiment was conducted at Soil and Water Research Department Greenhouse, was carried out to study the effect of different levels of salinity stress on the barely crop also Study the effect of the water magnetization to reduce salinity effect and ^{15}N in plant parts. Lowest grain yield of 11.68 g pot^{-1} by $M_0S_2N_0$. This decrease in grain yield was by the low N fertilization and the salinity stress. Grain yield was 38.80 g pot^{-1} ($M_0S_2N_4$) with an increase averaging 232.2%. The lowest straw dry matter yield was 10.60 g pot^{-1} given by $M_0S_3N_0$. Plants werfaced difficulty to absorb N because of salinity. The highest straw yield was 26.40 g pot^{-1} ($M_1S_0N_4$) with 149.1%. The highest straw N uptake was 197.9 by $M1N4S0$ with 343.7%. The lowest N uptake in grains was 117.9 g pot^{-1} by $M_0S_1N_3$. The highest grains N uptake was 372.9 g pot^{-1} by $M_0S_2N_2$ 216.3% increase N recovery by straw ranged was 0.11 to 7.76 g pot^{-1} due to $M_0S_0N_3$ and $M_1S_2N_1$, respectively. The main effect of magnetization was an increase due to M_1 . The main effect of salinity show an increase in NR when the salinity was in medium rates. N recovery by grains ranged from 0.65 to 25.14 g pot^{-1} due to $M_0S_0N_1$ and $M_1S_1N_1$, respectively.*

Key word: $^{15}\text{Nitrogen}$, salinity, magnetized water, barely crop.

INTRODUCTION

Magnetic water treatment is one such area, and the magnetic field applications have been known for centuries (Colic and Morse, 1999) Michael Faraday introduced the concept of induction as early as 1830, stating that when a magnetic field flux is crosses by flow ions or a conductive material, electrical current is induced. Although magnetic field applications were rapidly pursued in order to prove Faraday's claim, attention by researchers and industrialists worldwide was still lacking (Zaidi *et al.*, 2014). Some of the earlier studies showed that, when water is exposed to a magnetic field, the magnetization of water changes its properties including optics, electromagnetism, thermodynamics and mechanics, affecting the dielectric

constant, viscosity, surface tension, freezing and boiling points and electric conductivity. Thus, magnetized water has extensive applications in industry, agriculture and medicine (Teixeira da Silva and Dobránszki, 2016). Claims have been made that magnetic fields change the physiochemical properties of water, or prepared laboratory solutions (Hozayn *et al.*, 2016).

Salinity is a problem in arid and semi-arid regions, such as Egypt. About 33% of the cultivated land, in Egypt are saline. Such salinity is mainly due to low precipitation (<25 mm annual rainfall), high temperature, high surface evaporation (1500- 2400 mm/year), poor drainage irrigation with low quality and high water table Salt stress generally leads to a reduction in crop growth and

yield (Parida and Das, 2005). Irrigated agriculture depends on adequate, high-quality water supplies. As the level of salt increases in irrigation under the quality of water for plant growth decreases. All irrigation waters contain some salt. In many areas, good quality (low salt and low sodium) water is not available for irrigation; consequently waters containing high levels of salt must be used.

Nitrogen is an essential macronutrient for plants. The increasingly severe environmental problems caused by N fertilizer application urge alleviation of N fertilizer dependence in fertilization.

Barley is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt. It occupied a very important position in the Egyptian cropping system for its moderate salt tolerance, and ability to grow over a wide range of environmental stresses (Abd El-Hady, 2007). It can tolerate chemical pollutants and give an economic yield under adverse conditions (Ayman, 2015). It is mainly used for malting and subsequent beer brewing (Gupta *et al.*, 2010). Low protein content (9.5-11.5%) and explicit limits for contents of microorganisms and toxins are desired (FAO, 2009). For this reason, investigations on the relationship of N-input with pathogen contamination are highly relevant to secure product quality.

The present study aims at assessing (i) study the effect of salinity on the plant. (ii) the effect of the magnetized to reduce salinity. (iii) hazards transportation of ^{15}N by plant parts. (iv) the effect of water magnetization on N uptake by plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Soil and Water Research Department Greenhouse, Nuclear research Center, Atomic Energy Authority, Abou-Zaabal, Egypt

The soil used in the study was sand. The experimental design was a split-split plot design involves 3 factors with three replicates. The factors were as follows:

Factor M: Two magnetized water treatments as follows:

- (i) Non magnetized water (M_0) and (ii) magnetized water (M_1)

Factor S: Four salinity stress treatments as follows:

- (i) Without salinity stress (S_0), (ii) water of 3 dS m^{-1} (S_1), (iii) water of 6 dS m^{-1} (S_2) and (iv) water of 9 dS m^{-1} (S_3).

Factor N: Five N fertilization treatments % of 100 mgNkg^{-1} as follows:

- (i) without N fertilization (N_0), (ii) 25 mgN kg (N_1) (iii) 50 mgNkg from the recommended rate (N_2), (iv) 75 mgNkg from the recommended rate (N_3) and (v) 100% from the recommended rate (N_4).

Nitrogen-fertilizer was applied and thoroughly mixed with soil in the pots experiment in the form of ^{15}N -Labeled ammonium sulfate with 2% ^{15}N atom excess at four weeks after seeding.

PVC pots with dimensions of 25 cm diameter and capacity of 10 kg pot^{-1} were used in the study.

The soil was air-dried, crushed, sieved through 0.5 cm sieve. Data of physical and chemical properties of the soil used in the study are shown in Table 1.

Barly (*Hordum Vulgare*) provided by Field Crops Research Institute was seeded at 5 seeds per pot. The saline water: sea water were sea water mixed with fresh water magnetization was with power of 50 m Tesla . The plants were irrigated during the growth season. Methods of analysis: Chemical and physical analysis of soil was carried out according to Carter and Gregorish (2008), Soltanpour (1985) and Estefan *et al.* (2013). The $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratio was determined by emission

Impact of Nfertilizer rate on barely (*Hordum Vulgare*) irrigated with

spectrometry ¹⁵N-analyzer (Model NOI-6PC) following the description of IAEA (2001).

Table 1: Main properties of soil of the experimental field of the current study.

pH (1:2.5)	EC 'pe' [*] (dS m ⁻¹)	CaCO ₃ (g kg ⁻¹)	Organic matter (gkg ⁻¹)	Saturation % (SP)		
7.23	0.37	0.0	0.3	12.47		
Soluble Ions (mmol _c L ⁻¹)						
Na ⁺	0.32		CO ₃ ²⁻	0.00		
K ⁺	0.09		HCO ₃ ³⁻	0.88		
Ca ²⁺	1.20		Cl ⁻	1.25		
Mg ²⁺	1.00		SO ₄ ²⁻	0.53		
Available nutrients [*] (mg kg ⁻¹)						
N	P	K	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
5.0	2.0	2.2	25.8	0.5	1.4	1.4
Total nutrients (g kg ⁻¹)						
N	P	K	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
0.30	0.04	1.00	2.20	0.01	0.10	0.20
Particle size distribution (%)						
Sand		Silt		Clay		Texture
98.0		2.0		0.0		Sand

Extracts of: KCl for N, NH₄HCO₃-DTPA for P,K, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu; Pe: paste extract

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Straw dry matter yield:

The highest straw dry matter yield was 26.40 g pot⁻¹ (M₁S₀N₄) with on increases averaging 149.1%. The lowest straw dry matter yield was 10.60 g pot⁻¹ given by M₀S₃N₀. (Table 2). The low Yield was due to salinity stress. Plants were not able to absorb nitrogen from the soil because of salinity stress. The main effect of magnetization shows a M₁>M₀ with an increase averaging 22.9% due to M₁ but there was an interaction caused by salinity and N fertilization. Under conditions of highest N the magnetized were passed the non magnetized alightly under conditions of S2 salinity level the

magnetized did not aurpass the non magnetized.

The main effect of salinity shows a treated of S₀>S₂>S₃>S₁ with an a decreases averaging 10.8, 0.3 and 14.5% due to S₁, S₂ and S₃ respectively but there was an interaction caused by magnetization and N fertilization. Under conditions of non-magnetized treatment the patern was S₂>S₃>S₀>S₁ but under conditions of the magnetized the patern was S₀>S₃>S₁>S₂.

The N fertilization main effect shows a pattern of N₄>N₃>N₂>N₁>N₀ with increases averaging 11.5, 32.2, 42.9 and 48.8 % due to N₄, N₃, N₂ and N₁ respectively there was an interaction caused by magnetization .under no

magnetization both N3 and N4 were not different from each other and the the pattern was $N_4=N_3>N_2>N_1>N_0$.

Table 2: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on straw dry matter yield (g pot⁻¹).

Magnetization (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	10.80	12.57	12.46	14.21	23.01	14.61
	S ₁	11.98	13.86	13.92	16.38	13.00	13.82
	S ₂	14.70	14.62	18.61	19.98	22.75	18.13
	S ₃	10.60	13.24	16.74	17.55	18.75	15.37
	mean	12.01	13.57	15.43	17.03	19.38	15.48
Magnetized	S ₀	12.60	19.65	23.24	24.86	26.40	21.35
	S ₁	19.82	14.40	17.65	20.78	18.64	18.25
	S ₂	14.57	17.11	19.70	18.16	19.10	17.72
	S ₃	13.66	15.68	21.34	23.33	20.02	18.80
	mean	15.16	16.71	20.48	21.78	21.04	19.03
G. mean		13.58	15.14	17.95	19.40	20.21	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	11.70	16.11	17.85	19.54	24.70	17.98
	S ₁	15.90	14.13	15.78	18.58	15.82	16.04
	S ₂	14.63	15.86	19.15	19.07	20.92	17.93
	S ₃	12.12	14.46	19.04	20.44	19.38	17.09

LSD 0.05: M: 0.3 ; S: 0.4 ; N: 0.47 ; MS: 0.6 ; MN: 0.67 ; SN: 0.95 ; MSN: 1.3

The beneficial effects of magnetic treatment have also been reported on germination percentages of lower seeds (Matwijczuk *et al.*, 2012); maize root growth (Turker *et al.*, 2007), element uptake by same vegetables (Maheshwari and Grewal, 2009), and yield (Selim and El-Nady, 2011).

Grains yield:

The lowest grain yield was 11.68 g pot⁻¹ by M₀S₂N₀. (Table 3). The hight grain yield was 38.80 g pot⁻¹ by M₀S₂N₄ with an increase averaging 232.2%.

The main effect of magnetization shows the treatment of M₁>M₀ with an increases averaging 13.7% due to M₁ but there was an interaction caused by salinity and N fertilization. Under conditions of N₀ or N₁ the M₁ awrpassed M₀ : under N treatment. Under conditions of S₂ salinity M₀ was greeter than M₀.

The main effect of salinity shows the treatment of S₂>S₀=S₃=S₁ with an increase averaging 17.0% due to S₂ and a decrease averaging 4.1 and 0.8 % due to S₁ and S₃ respectively there was an interaction caused by magnetization where the pattern showed differences among all salinity levels under magnetization and N fertilization. Under conditions of N₂, N₃ and N₄ the highest grain yield was given by S₂.

The N fertilization main effect shows the treatment of N₄>N₃>N₂>N₁>N₀ with an increases averaging 5.7, 28.7, 32.1 and 36.5 % due to N₁, N₂, N₃ and N₄ There was an interaction caused by the magnetized water and salinity. Under conditions of non-magnetization the patern was N₄>N₂>N₃>N₁>N₀.. Under conditions of magnetization the pattern was N₃>N₄>N₂>N₀>N₁.G rain yield increased when nitrogen was added to

Impact of Nfertilizer rate on barely (*Hordum Vulgare*) irrigated with

the soil as a Fertilizer form. Studies, (Tanaka *et al.*, 2010). Other studies studies employed magnetized water and found that it can improve water

productivity and crop yield (Maheshwari and Grewal, 2009).

Table 3: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on grain dry matter yield (g pot⁻¹).

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	14.64	15.18	14.02	17.82	28.55	18.05
	S ₁	12.55	12.62	18.16	15.78	18.70	15.56
	S ₂	11.68	20.90	31.57	26.03	38.80	23.80
	S ₃	12.43	19.63	20.02	21.29	14.50	17.57
	mean	12.82	17.08	20.96	20.23	22.63	18.74
Magnetized	S ₀	14.10	22.25	24.40	21.21	22.26	20.84
	S ₁	27.66	18.06	18.76	22.34	21.86	21.74
	S ₂	20.85	15.98	22.17	24.95	24.55	21.70
	S ₃	18.95	15.81	21.92	26.15	22.21	21.01
	mean	20.40	18.02	21.81	23.66	22.72	21.32
G. mean		16.61	17.55	21.38	21.95	22.68	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	14.37	18.71	19.23	19.52	25.40	19.45
	S ₁	20.10	15.34	18.46	19.06	20.28	18.65
	S ₂	16.27	18.43	26.87	25.50	26.67	22.75
	S ₃	15.70	17.72	20.97	23.72	18.35	19.29

LSD 0.05: M: 0.54 ; S: 0.76 ; N: 0.85 ; MS: 1.08 ; MN:1.2 ; SN: 1.7 ; MSN:2.4

N uptake in straw:

The lowest N uptake in straw was given by the untreated treatment (M₀S₀N₀) (Table 4).. The highest straw N uptake of 197.9 was by M₁N₀S₄ with increase of 343.7%. (Table 4)

The main effect of magnetization shows the M₀>M₁ with a decrease 0.22% due to M₁ but there was an interaction caused by salinity. Under conditions s₀ or s₁ the pattern was m₁> M₀, but under conditions of other salinity levels it was M₁<M₀. Under conditions of N₀ , N₁.

The main effect of salinity shows a pattern of S₂>S₀>S₁>S₃ with an increase about 17.7% due to S₂ and decreases averaging 11.7 and 25.1 % due to S₁ and S₃ respectively there was an interaction caused by magnetization and N fertilization. Under conditions of no-

magnetization the pattern was S₂>S₃>S₁>S₀, but under conditions of magnetization the pattern was S₀>S₂>S₁>S₃. Under conditions of any N₁ or N₃ rate the pattern agrees with that of the main effect under other N treatments the pattern disagreed that of the main treatment.

The N fertilization main effect shows the treatment of N₃>N₄>N₂>N₁>N₀ with an increases averaging 18.7, 31.6, 47.2 and 44.9 % due to N₁, N₂, N₃ and N₄ respectively There was an interaction caused by the magnetized water and salinity under conditions of non-magnetization the pattern was N₄>N₃>N₂>N₁>N₀ respectively. Under conditions of magnetized the pattern was N₃>N₄>N₁>N₂>N₀. Under conditions of any salinity stress treatment the pattern was disagree with the main effect.

Magnetization gave positive effect against (Maheshwari and Grewal, 2009), and caused mobility of nutrients from fertilizers (Hozayn and Abdul Qados, 2010), water holding capacity of soil (Al-

Khazan *et al.*, 2011); and decreased soil pH. (Chang and Weng, 2006).

Table 4: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on N uptake in straw dry matter yield g pot⁻¹.

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					Mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	44.6	59.1	77.5	60.9	148.8	78.2
	S ₁	67.7	88.2	73.5	86.8	77.1	78.6
	S ₂	97.3	88.5	146.5	162.4	127.4	124.4
	S ₃	53.2	73.2	96.6	96.7	79.5	79.8
	mean	65.7	77.2	98.5	101.6	108.2	90.3
Magnetized	S ₀	75.7	97.1	93.5	136.5	197.9	108.1
	S ₁	93.6	94.4	76.5	93.2	83.5	88.2
	S ₂	73.8	111.9	94.5	101.7	93.6	95.1
	S ₃	56.1	53.7	81.1	88.9	65.8	69.1
	mean	74.8	89.3	86.4	105.0	95.2	90.1
G. mean		70.2	83.3	92.4	103.3	101.7	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	60.2	78.1	85.5	98.7	143.3	93.2
	S ₁	80.7	91.3	75.0	90.0	80.3	83.4
	S ₂	85.6	100.2	120.5	132.0	110.5	109.7
	S ₃	54.6	63.4	88.9	92.8	72.6	74.5

LSD 0.05: M: 0.98 ; S: 1.4 ; N: 1.56 ; MS: 1.97 ; MN:2.2 ; SN: 3.27 ; MSN: 4.4

N uptake in grains:

Data in Table 5 Show that the lowest N uptake of 117.9 g pot⁻¹ by M₀S₁N₃. The highest grains N uptake was 372.9 g pot⁻¹ in grains was by M₀ S₂N₂ with an increase about 216.3%. This high N uptake was given because of the medium N fertilizer. The main effect of magnetization shows a pattern of M₁>M₀ with a increases about 20.2% due to M₁ but there was an interaction caused by salinity and N fertilization. Under conditions of N₄ the difference between M₁ and M₀was very slight, but under conditions of other N treatments M₁

surpassed M₀ concederably. Under conditions of S₀ and S₂ the pattern was M₀>M₁..The main effect of salinity shows a pattern of S₂>S₃>S₀>S₁ with an increase about 141.1, 2.7% due to S₂ and S₃ and a decrease about 11.0 % due to S₁ There was an interaction caused by the magnetization fertilization. under no magnetization the pattern was S₂>S₃>S₀>S₁.. Under conditions of N₄ the pattern was N₁>N₂>N₀>N₃ under N₀ the pattern was N₁> N₂ . N₃> N₀.The N fertilization main effect shows the treatment of N₃>N₄>N₂>N₀>N₁ with an increases averaging 28.1, 41.2 and 29.6 %

Impact of N fertilizer rate on barely (*Hordum Vulgare*) irrigated with

due to N_2 , N_3 and N_4 respectively and a decreases about 4.9% due to N_1 under no magnetization the pattern was $N_4 > N_3 > N_2 > N_1 > N_0$. Under magnetization the pattern was $N_3 > N_2 > N_4 > N_0 > N_1$. Under

conditions of S3 the pattern was $N_3 > N_2 > N_4 > N_1 > N_0$. Under S3 it was $N_3 > N_2 > N_1 > N_0$.

Table 5: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on N uptake in grains (mg pot⁻¹).

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	163.5	125.5	196.1	237.3	364.5	217.4
	S ₁	193.8	139.9	195.3	117.9	200.1	169.4
	S ₂	161.1	216.7	372.9	372.1	311.1	286.8
	S ₃	139.0	246.6	253.2	310.5	175.3	224.9
	mean	164.3	182.2	254.3	259.4	262.7	224.6
Magnetized	S ₀	173.7	269.3	356.2	261.1	267.0	265.4
	S ₁	320.5	182.5	187.7	338.1	272.3	260.2
	S ₂	274.8	216.0	265.0	357.4	303.4	283.3
	S ₃	238.3	190.1	305.6	356.5	264.6	271
	mean	251.8	214.5	278.6	328.3	276.8	270.0
G. mean		208.1	198.3	266.5	293.9	269.8	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	168.6	197.4	276.1	249.2	315.7	241.4
	S ₁	257.1	161.2	191.5	228.0	336.2	214.8
	S ₂	217.9	216.3	318.9	364.8	307.2	285.0
	S ₃	188.6	218.4	279.4	333.5	219.9	247.9

LSD 0.05: M: 2.6 ; S: 3.7 ; N: 4.1 ; MS: 5.2 ; MN: 5.8 ; SN: 8.26 ; MSN: 11.7

Na uptake in straw: (Table 6)

The lowest Na uptake in straw was 114.3 g pot⁻¹ by $M_0S_0N_0$. The highest Na uptake in straw 580.1 g pot⁻¹ by $M_1S_0N_4$ with increase of 407.5%. The main effect of magnetization shows $M_1 > M_0$ with an increase 62.1% due to M_1 . Under conditions of N_4 the increase of M_1 over M_0 was not very high. The main effect of salinity shows the treatment of

$S_3 > S_2 > S_0 > S_1$ with an increases averaging 5.6 and 13.6% due to S_2 and S_3 and respectively and a decrease 8.9% due to S_1 . Under conditions of no magnetization the pattern was $S_2 > S_3 > S_1 > S_0$, Under conditions of magnetization the pattern was $S_3 > S_0 > S_1 > S_2$. The N fertilization main effect shows the treatment of $N_4 > N_3 > N_2 > N_1 > N_0$ with an increases

averaging 10.8, 42.9, 44.5 and 11.9% due to N1, N2, N3 and N4 respectively.. With condition of no-magnetization the pattern agrees with the main effect. Under conditions of magnetized it was

$N_4 > N_2 > N_3 > N_1 > N_0$. Under conditions of S3 or S1 the N3 treatment showed high N uptake followed by N4.

Table 6: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on Na uptake in straw g pot⁻¹.

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	114.3	181.2	188.9	191.9	304.2	196.1
	S ₁	149.7	182.7	189.8	270.5	172.2	193.0
	S ₂	266.1	263.2	317.2	336.1	383.5	313.2
	S ₃	177.9	209.4	371.6	317.9	305.6	276.5
	mean	177.0	209.1	266.9	279.1	291.4	244.7
Magnetized	S ₀	223.9	414.3	491.4	436.1	580.1	429.1
	S ₁	384.8	287.6	362.8	439.3	407.7	376.4
	S ₂	333.9	275.7	265.6	351.0	409.3	347.1
	S ₃	326.0	337.2	536.0	512.9	457.7	433.9
	mean	317.1	328.7	438.9	434.8	463.7	396.6
G. mean		247.0	268.9	352.9	356.9	377.5	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	169.1	197.7	340.2	314.0	442.1	312.6
	S ₁	267.2	235.1	276.3	354.9	289.9	284.7
	S ₂	300.0	269.4	341.4	343.6	396.4	330.1
	S ₃	251.9	273.3	253.8	415.4	381.6	355.2

LSD 0.05: M: 2.4 ; S: 3.4 ; N: 3.8 ; MS: 4.8 ; MN: 5.3 ; SN: 7.5 ; MSN:10.7

Na uptake in grains:

The lowest Na uptake in grains was 11.42 g pot⁻¹ caused by M₀S₂N₀. The highest Na uptake 30.44 g pot⁻¹ was by M₁S₂N₂ with an increase of 166.5%. (Table 7). The main effect of magnetization shows a pattern of M₁>M₀ with an increase of 13.1% due to M₁ under Conditions of N4 there was no difference between M0 and M1 Under

conditions of S2 the pattern was M0>M1 The main effect of salinity shows S₂>S₀>S₃>S₁ with an increase of about 18.0% due to S₂ and decreases averaging 5.4, 1.8% due to S₁, S₃ respectively under no magnetization the pattern agrees with the main effect, but under magnetization it was S₁>S₂>S₃>S₀. Under N4 it was S₂>S₀>S₃.

Impact of N fertilizer rate on barely (*Hordum Vulgare*) irrigated with

The N fertilization main effect shows a pattern of $N_4 > N_2 > N_3 > N_1 > N_0$ with increase averaging 7.0, 129.3, 35.3 and 39.0% due to N_1 , N_2 , N_3 and N_4 respectively.. Under conditions of no magnetization the pattern was

$N_4 > N_3 > N_2 > N_1 > N_0$. Under conditions of magnetization it was $N_3 > N_4 > N_2 > N_0 > N_1$. Under conditions S1 the pattern was $N_4 > N_0 > N_3 > N_2 > N_1$.

Table 7: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on Na uptake in grains $g\ pot^{-1}$.

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)					mean
		N ₀	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	14.37	15.41	14.37	17.55	28.55	18.05
	S ₁	11.72	12.37	18.16	15.57	18.44	15.25
	S ₂	11.42	20.63	30.44	30.38	29.62	24.50
	S ₃	12.86	19.26	20.40	20.63	14.97	17.62
	mean	12.60	16.92	20.84	21.03	22.90	18.85
Magnetized	S ₀	13.97	22.25	24.40	21.96	22.80	21.07
	S ₁	27.66	19.01	18.32	21.92	21.86	21.75
	S ₂	20.67	15.98	22.17	24.95	24.55	21.66
	S ₃	18.95	15.81	21.92	25.12	22.21	20.80
	mean	20.31	18.26	21.70	23.50	22.85	21.32
G. mean		16.45	17.60	21.27	22.26	22.87	
Mean of Salinity (S)							
	S ₀	14.17	18.83	19.38	19.75	25.67	19.56
	S ₁	19.70	15.70	18.24	18.74	20.15	18.50
	S ₂	16.05	18.31	26.30	27.66	27.08	23.08
	S ₃	15.90	17.54	21.15	22.88	18.60	19.21

LSD 0.05: M: 0.2 ; S: 0.27 ; N: 0.3 ; MS: 0.4 ; MN: 0.43 ; SN: 0.6 ; MSN: 0.87

Nitrogen recovery by straw (NR): N recovery by straw ranged from 0.11 to 7.76 $g\ pot^{-1}$ due to $M_0S_0N_3$ and $M_1S_2N_1$, respectively. (Table 8). The main effect of magnetized gave an increase due to M_1 . The main effect of salinity show an increase in nitrogen recovery when the salinity was in medium rates. The main effect of N fertilization gave an increase in NR due to N_1 followed by N_2 .

N recovery (NR) by grains ranged from 0.65 to 25.14 $g\ pot^{-1}$ due to $M_0S_0N_1$ and $M_1S_1N_1$, respectively (Table 9). The main

effect of magnetized gave an increase due to M_1 . The main effect of salinity show an increase in NR when the salinity was high. The main effect of N fertilization gave an increase in NR due to N_2 followed by N_1 .: Harmsen and Garabet (2003) refers to the recovery by subtraction as the "apparent N-recovery fraction whereas that given by the ¹⁵N tracer as the "15N recovery fraction.

Nitrogen which remained in soil after harvest (NrS):

¹⁵N which remained in the soil is shown in Table 10. The values ranged from 0.13 to 0.65 due to M₀S₂N₁ and M₁S₃N₁, respectively. The main effect of magnetization shows an increase due to M₁. Under conditions of magnetization and N₄ there was high g kg⁻¹ soil of N

remaining in the soil after harvest. The main effect of salinity gave a pattern of S₀>S₁>S₂>S₃. N fertilization main effect gave high ¹⁵N in the soil under conditions of N₄.

Table 8: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on N recovery by straw (%).

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)				Mean
		N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	0.26	0.15	0.11	0.60	0.28
	S ₁	2.12	0.98	0.72	0.65	1.12
	S ₂	3.46	3.65	0.29	0.34	1.93
	S ₃	1.69	0.43	0.46	0.04	0.66
	mean	1.88	1.30	0.39	0.41	1.00
Magnetized	S ₀	2.42	0.09	1.70	4.93	2.28
	S ₁	6.04	6.53	2.49	3.04	4.52
	S ₂	7.76	2.28	0.30	0.17	3.13
	S ₃	5.92	4.47	0.26	1.55	3.05
	mean	5.53	3.84	1.19	2.42	3.25
G. mean		3.71	2.57	0.79	1.41	2.12
	S ₀	1.34	0.12	0.90	2.76	1.28
	S ₁	4.08	3.75	1.60	1.85	2.82
	S ₂	5.61	3.97	0.30	0.25	2.53
	S ₃	3.81	2.45	0.36	0.80	1.85
	mean					

Table 9: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on N recovery in grains (%).

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)				Mean
		N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	0.65	9.15	4.57	8.91	5.80
	S ₁	6.84	9.11	2.62	2.89	5.37
	S ₂	20.23	20.72	18.19	14.52	18.41
	S ₃	24.11	16.88	14.72	2.34	14.51
	mean	12.93	13.97	10.03	7.16	11.02
Magnetized	S ₀	14.36	26.12	8.51	6.23	13.81
	S ₁	25.14	9.18	16.53	3.33	13.54
	S ₂	17.28	10.60	5.82	4.05	9.44
	S ₃	8.45	39.39	15.84	8.82	18.13
	mean	16.31	21.32	11.68	5.61	13.73

Impact of Nfertilizer rate on barely (*Hordum Vulgare*) irrigated with

G. mean		14.62	17.64	10.85	6.38	12.38
	S₀	7.46	17.64	6.54	7.57	9.80
	S₁	15.99	9.15	9.57	3.11	9.46
	S₂	18.45	15.66	12.01	9.28	13.93
	S₃	16.28	28.13	15.28	5.58	16.32

Table 10: Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and irrigated with magnetized and non-magnetized saline water on N remained in soil harvest (g kg⁻¹).

Magnetized (M)	Salinity (S)	Nitrogen fertilization (N)				Mean
		N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	N ₄	
Non Magnetized	S ₀	0.17	0.23	0.66	0.15	0.30
	S ₁	0.28	0.20	0.15	0.28	0.23
	S ₂	0.13	0.18	0.62	0.82	0.44
	S ₃	0.62	0.10	0.12	0.25	0.27
	mean	0.30	0.18	0.39	0.38	0.31
Magnetized	S ₀	0.46	0.30	0.55	0.51	0.46
	S ₁	0.38	0.14	0.23	1.12	0.47
	S ₂	0.30	0.18	0.18	0.28	0.24
	S ₃	0.65	0.14	0.15	0.26	0.30
	mean	0.45	0.19	0.28	0.54	0.36
G. mean		0.37	0.18	0.33	0.46	0.34
	S ₀	0.31	0.27	0.61	0.33	0.38
	S ₁	0.33	0.17	0.19	0.70	0.35
	S ₂	0.22	0.18	0.40	0.55	0.34
	S ₃	0.63	0.12	0.13	0.25	0.29

REFERENCES

Abd El-Hady, B.A. (2007). Effect of zinc application on growth and nutrient uptake of barley plant irrigated with saline water. *J. Appl. Sci. Res.* 3 (6): 431–436.

Al-Khazan, M., M. Batoul and N. Al-Assaf (2011). Effects of magnetized low quality water on some soil properties and plant status: chlorophyll pigments and some elements content of Jojoba (*Simmondsia chinensis* L.) at different growth stages. *Afr. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 5: 722–731.

Ayman, M.H.A. (2015). Assessment of water quality of wastewaters of Bahr El-Baqar, Bilbies and El-Qalyubia drains in east delta, Egypt for irrigation purposes. *Egypt J. Soil Sci. Egypt J. Soil Sci.* 55: 119-146.

Chang, K.T. and C.I. Weng (2006). The effect of an external magnetic field on the structure of liquid water using molecular dynamics simulation. *J. App. Phys.* 100: 43917–43922.

- Colic, M. and D. Morse (1999). The elusive mechanism of the magnetic 'memory' of water. *Coll. Surf.* 154 (1–2), 167–174.
- Estefan, G., R. Sommer and J. Ryan (2013). *Methods of soil, plant and water analysis: a manual for the West Asia and North Africa region*. Intl. Center Agric. Res. Dry Areas (ICARDA), 3rd edition.
- FAO, (2009). *Agribusiness Handbook: Barley, Malt, Beer*. FAO Investment Centre Division (accessed on 11.12.15.). Available at: http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/user_upload/tci/docs/AH3_BarleyMaltBeer.pdf.
- Gupta, M., N. Abu-Ghannam and E. Gallagher (2010). Barley for brewing: characteristic changes during malting, brewing and applications of its by-products. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 9: 318-328.
- Harmsen, K. and S. Garabet (2003). A comparison of the isotope-dilution and the difference method for estimating fertilizer nitrogen recovery fractions in crops. III. Experimental. *Nuclear J. Appl. Sci.* 51: 237-261.
- Hozayn, M. and A.M.S. Abdul Qados (2010). Irrigation with magnetized water enhances growth, chemical constituent and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Agric. Biol. J. North Am.* 1: 671–676.
- Hozayn, M., M.M. Canola Abdallha, A.A. Abd El-Monem, A.A. El-Saady and M.A. Darwish (2016). Applications of magnetic technology in agriculture: a novel tool for improving crop productivity. *Afr. J. Agric. Res.* 11 (5): 441–449.
- IAEA (2001). Training course. International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) No. 14, Vienna, Austria.
- Maheshwari, B.L. and H.S. Grewal (2009). Magnetic treatment of irrigation water: its effects on vegetable crop yield and water productivity. *Agric. Water Manage.* 96: 1229–1236.
- Matwijczuk, A., K. Kornarzynski and S. Pietruszewski (2012). Effect of magnetic field on seed germination and seedling growth of sunflower. *Int. Agrophys.* 26: 271–278.
- Parida, A. K. and A. B. Das (2005). Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants. *Ecotox. Environ. Saf.* 60: 324-349.
- Quan, X., Q. Qian, Z. Ye, J. Zeng, Z. Han and G. Zhang (2016). Metabolic analysis of two contrasting wild barley genotypes grown hydroponically reveals adaptive strategies in response to low nitrogen stress. *Journal of plant physiology* 206: 59-67.
- Selim, El-Nady (2011). Physio-anatomical responses of drought stressed tomato plants to magnetic field. *Acta Astron.* 69: 387–396.
- Soltanpour, P. N. (1985). Use of ammonium bicarbonate DTPA soil test to evaluate elemental availability and toxicity. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* 16:323-318.
- Tanaka, M., P.T. Van, J.A. Teixeira da Silva and L.H. Ham (2010). Novel magnetic field system: application to micro propagation of horticultural plants. *Biotech. Biotechnol. Equip.* 24: 2160–2163.
- Teixeira da Silva, J.A. and J. Dobránszki (2016). Magnetic fields: how is plant growth and development impacted? *Protoplasma* 253: 231–248.
- Turker, M., C. Temirci, P. Battal and M.E. Erez (2007). The effects of an artificial and static magnetic field on plant growth chlorophyll and phytohormone levels in maize and sunflower plants. *Phys. Ann. Rev. Bot.* 46: 271–284.
- Zaidi, N.M., Sohaili, Johan, Muda, Khalida, Sillanpaa and Mika (2014). Magnetic field application and its

أثر معدلات السماد النتروجيني على انتاجية الشعير المروى بالمياه المالحة الممغنطة¹⁵
والغير ممغنطة مع تطبيق تقنية النظير المستقر النتروجين

أحمد عبدالمنعم مرسى ، أنور حسين الخولى

هيئة الطاقة الذرية - مركز البحوث النووية - قسم بحوث الأراضي والمياه، أبو زعبل 13759 ، مصر

المُلخَص العَرَبِي

اقيمت تجربة اصص في الصوبة الزراعية وذلك بهدف دراسة تأثير مستويات مختلفة من الملوحة على محصول الشعير وكذلك دراسة تأثير الممغنطة على انخفاض ملوحة الماء ودراسة امتصاص النتروجين 15 في اجزاء النبات المختلفة.

اظهرت البيانات انخفاض محصول الحبوب 11.86 جرام/ بوط مع المعاملة (MOS3N0) والسبب يرجع الى تأثير ارتفاع الملوحة وقلة اضافة النتروجين وعلى العكس ادى اضافة نفس المعاملات مع التركيز المرتفع من النتروجين الى زياده في محصول الحبوب وكانت 38.80 جرام/بوط. وكانت المعاملة (MOS3N0) انخفاض في محصول القش اعطت 10.60 جرام/بوط بينما المعاملة (MOS3N4) ازداد محصول القش وكانت 26.40 جرام/بوط تاثر النتروجين الممتص بواسطة حبوب النبات في نفس هذه المعاملات وكانت اعلاها في المعاملة (MOS3N3) وكانت 372.9 جرام /بوط النتروجين المستعاضة في الحبوب كان محتواه من 0.65 الى 25.14 جرام/بوط على التوالي. (MOS0N1) و (M1S1N1) .

السادة المحكمين

أ.د/ على أحمد عبدالسلام كلية الزراعة - جامعة بنها
أ.د/ فاطمة سعد الشافعي كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنوفية