

Comprative diagnostic studies on *Babesia* and *Theileria* among cattle at Minufiya provience

Hassan H*, El-Sayed M. I**, and Akram A. S.*

Department of Animal Medicine and Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Sadat city -Minufiya University** Department of Animal Medicine, Faculty of Veterinary (Moshtohor), Benha University

Abstract

A total of 405 cattle of different ages, sexes, and breeds were examined clinically for diagnosis of babesiosis and theileriosis. Blood film examination revealed that 98 out of 405 animals showed either *Babesia* organism or the intraerthrocytic stage of *Theileria* inside the red blood cells (RBCs). 33 (8.1% smear and 65 (16.05%) were positive for *Babesia* and *Theileria*, respectively. Blood examination of 158 serum samples by IFAT for detecting the presence of antibodies against either *Babesia* or *Theileria* species, 25 samples (15.8%) were positive for *Babesia* antibodies and 33 serum samples (20.9%) were positive for *Theileria* antibodies. For confirming all above methods of diagnosis, we have been used PCR in which 275 blood samples were examined after DNA extraction for the presence of either *Babesia* or *Theileria*. The results revealed that positive samples for *Babesia* were 24 sample (8.73%) and 60 samples (21.82%) were positive for *Theileria*. By comparing the different methods of diagnosis, we found that out of 158 cattle examined by three methods 11 samples were positive for blood parasites by three methods of diagnosis (Direct smear, IFAT, PCR), 45 samples were positive by PCR and direct smear, 4 samples positive by PCR and IFAT, 4 sample was positive by PCR only, and 4 samples positive by IFAT only.

Introduction

Cattle are considered as one of the most important animals as they provide human consumption with meat and milk. These products mainly depend on the general health condition which is affected by infection with several diseases such as bacteria, virus, and parasites as blood parasites (Young et al. 1988). Babesiosis and theileriosis are from the gravest diseases influencing cattle. These diseases frequently plagued the veterinary authorities, especially they are sometimes appear as vague problems intervened with other disease conditions. Furthermore, blood parasites cause mortalities, abortion, loss of heat, drop in milk production, and emaciation. In particular, these diseases are severe among foreign breeds that are imported (Yin, et al., 1997).

The examination of Giemsa-stained blood smears was the method of choice to detect *Babesia* in blood of infected animals especially in acute cases. It was necessary to develop serological techniques to detect the circulating specific antibodies against blood parasites especially in the very early and chronic stages of the disease and in subclinical infection. The antibodies tend to disappear in this carrier state where as piroplasms persist. Therefore, the application of new diagnostic techniques such as PCR is necessary to detect these carriers (Rania, 2009). In addition serological diagnosis by indirect immunofluorescence antibody test can be used for detection of antibodies against *Theileria* species (El-Ghaysh, 1993).

The fundamental goal of the present work can be summarized on the following

1. Determination of the incidence of *Babesia* spp. and *Theileria* s infection among cattle by different methods of diagnosis such as Giemsa stain blood film, serological tests (IFAT), and PCR.

2. Figure out the relationship between the results of these different methods of diagnosis.

Materials and Methods

Materials:

Animal:

A total of 405 cattle of different ages, sexes, and breeds were examined clinically in the field for diagnosis of the animals which suffered from signs of blood parasite such as babesiosis and theileriosis. Blood smears and blood samples were collected to confirm clinical diagnosis and detect carrier animals. These animals were examined during field trips in Minufiya province.

Samples:

1.1. Blood smear:

Clean dry slides were used for collection of blood smears from diseased and apparently healthy cattle. Totally 405 blood smears were collected.

1.2. Blood samples:

275 blood samples were collected in anticoagulant (sodium salt of EDTA) collection tubes of 3 ml volume using sterile syringe with wide bore for DNA extraction to be examined by PCR. These samples were frozen at -20 °C until use. Other 25 blood samples were collected without anticoagulant using sterile needles with wide bores and let to clot for obtaining non hemolyzed clear serum for serological examination by IFAT.

Materials used for blood smear examination:

These materials include clean glass slides, methyl alcohol, and Giemsa stain stock solution.

Materials used for IFAT:

Coated slides for *Babesia* and *Theileria* spp: Slides coated with *Babesia* antigen and others coated with *Theileria* antigen were kindly obtained from Veterinary Serum and Vaccine Research Institute (VSVRI) and used as antigen to detect the positive serum samples by indirect IFAT.

Conjugate: Fluorescein labeled (FITC) affinity purified antibody to bovine IgG was developed in rabbit (Sigma Immunochemicals Co.). This conjugate reacts specifically with bovine IgG. The conjugate was diluted 1:16 in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and gave positive reaction with positive serum samples containing antibodies either for *Babesia* or *Theileria* only.

Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.2: It was prepared according to (Pollard, 1997).

Glycerol buffer (pH 8.3): Glycerin 45 ml

0.1M NaHCO₃..... 5 ml

Fluorescent research microscope: It was used to detect positive results in which anti-bovine IgG conjugated with fluorescence appears. It has a fixed camera which can take on-site photos. It is of model Jenalumar.

Materials for PCR:

Materials for DNA extraction (Manual chemical method): They include cell lysis buffer (pH8.0), ethanol, isopropanol, potassium acetate solution, red blood cell lysis buffer and proteinase K (20mg /ml).

Materials used for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR):

a. DNA 2X Master Mix: Master Mix ready to use was obtained from Fermentas, Germany. It contains Taq DNA polymerase (in reaction buffer 0.05 unites/ μ l), MgCl₂ 4 mM, and dNTPs (dNTP, dCTP,dGTP, dTTP) 0.4 mM of each.

b. Primers: The primers were synthesized by Bioneer Company, Germany. The sequences of the primers used are common for Babesia and Theileria (GenBank accession no. EF185818).

Table 1: The primers sequences used for PCR for both *Babesia* and *Theleiria*

Primers	Sequences
Forward primer	TTG TAA TTG GAA TGA TGG
Reverse primer	CCA AAG ACT TTG ATT TCT CTC

c. Programmable thermal controller (PCR machine): Thermal Cycler-Biometra T personal/ S/N 1205334 was used for amplification of DNA.

Reagents used for electrophoresis:Agarose: Agarose was purchased from International New Technologies in New Hoven, Connecticut, USA. This agarose was DNase and RNase free and prepared as 1.5% concentration.

TBE buffer: Tris Boric acid EDTA buffer (pH 8) was prepared from Tris Hcl, EDTA and boric acid according to (Sambrook and Russel 2001).

Loading buffer: Bromophenol (1%) was used for depositing PCR products in the gel wells and was prepared as 6 X concentrations. It was supplied by Fermentas, Germany.

Molecular marker (DNA marker): DNA molecular weight marker was obtained from Fermentas as 100 bp DNA ladder.

Ethidium bromide: (2.7 Diamino 10 ethly - 9 phenyl phenathridium bromide Applichem) 10mg/ml of distilled water was used to stain DNA during examination by UV illumination.

Methods:

Preparation of blood film: Thin blood films were smeared according to (Coles 1986).

Examination of blood film for *Babesia* and *Theileria*: Smears were examined about ¼ - ½ inches from the end of the film and transversed from one side of the film to other (cross-sectional-method) to give constant and representative samples according to (Barrent, 1977). Each slide was examined twice before being considered negative.

IFAT procedure: The IFAT was performed on examined serum samples by a modified IFAT based on the technique described by (Leeflang and Perie 1972).

DNA extraction: Manual chemical method (rapid isolation of mammalian DNA) was applied. This technique was done according to (Sambrook and Russel 2001).

DNA amplification (PCR): The DNA samples were tested in 50 μ l reactor volume. The tested samples were mixed with PCR components according to the following amounts:

Substance	volume	final concentration
DNA	variable	1 μ g
2Xmaster mix	25 μ l	1x

Forward Primer	variable	0.1-1 μ M
Reverse Primer	variable	0.1-1 μ M
Deionized H ₂ O	completed to	50 μ l

PCR Protocol: Primary denaturation: at 95 °C for 5 min which followed by 40 cycles of each following steps. Step 1 (Denaturation): at 95 °C for 1 min. Step 2 (Annealing): at 55 °C for common primer for 1 min. Step 3 (Extension): at 72 °C for 1 min. Followed by final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Then the product was kept at 4 °C till examination.

Screening of PCR products: 1.5 gm of agarose was dissolved in 50 ml of TBE buffer (electrophoresis buffer) and melted by heating. 0.5 μ g ethidium bromide/ml of agarose was added. Then the agarose was poured in the gel tray. Then, the tray was inserted in the electrophoresis cell containing TBE buffer. 10 μ l of each PCR product mixed with 2 μ l loading buffer were applied. Also, 5 μ l of molecular marker was applied. The electrophoresis cell was adjusted at 10 volt/cm. Then, the gel was examined under short wave UV transilluminator and the results were photographed.

Statistical analysis: Obtained data were statically analyzed to illustrate the results of his study using the methods of (Norman and Baily, 1997).

Results

1. Detection of *Babesia* and *Theileria* infection in cattle by examination of Giemsa stained blood smears:

A total 405 cattle blood samples were examined by Giemsa stained blood smears at Minufiya province. The results showed that 98 animals showed either *Babesia* or intraerthrocytic stage of *Theileria* inside RBCs. Moreover, 33 (8.1%) smears were positive for *Babesia* and 65 (16.05%) were positive for *Theileria*.




Fig. 1: Showing *Theileria* protozoan parasite of different shapes inside red blood cells.




Fig. 2: Showing *Babesia* protozoan parasite of different shapes inside red blood cells.

Seroprevalence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of serum samples by IFAT:

Total 158 cattle serum samples were examined for presence of antibodies against either for *Babesia* or *Theileria* species. Among them 25 (15.8%) samples were positive for *Babesia* antibodies and 33 (20.9%) samples were positive for *Theileria* antibodies




Fig. 3: Showing Typical yellowish fluorescence of *Theileria* piroplasms (arrow) indicating positive reaction in IFAT (100 \times).




Fig 4: Typical greenish yellow fluorescence of *Babesia* piroplasms (arrow) indicating positive reaction in IFAT (100 \times).

Table 2: Seroprevalence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of serum samples by IFAT.

No. of examined samples.	<i>Babesia</i> seropositive		<i>Theileria</i> seropositive	
	+ve	%	+ve	%
158	25	15.8	33	20.9




Fig. 5: Seroprevalence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of serum samples by IFAT.

Examination of blood samples by PCR:

A Total 275 cattle blood samples were examined by PCR for the presence of blood parasite either *Babesia* or *Theileria*. The results revealed that positive samples for *Babesia* were 24 (8.73%) sample and 60 (21.82%) were positive for *Theileria*.




Fig. 6: PCR result showing the presence of bands at 350pb for *Babesia* (discontinuous arrow) and 370pb for *Theileria* (linear arrow) in the pooled samples.





Fig. 7: PCR result showing the presence of bands at 350pb for *Babesia* (discontinuous arrow) and 370pb for *Theileria* (linear arrow) in the pooled sample.



2. Rate of mixed infection:

The results revealed that mixed infection (both *Babesia* and *Theileria* infection) using Giemsa stained blood smears and PCR were 11(2.7%).

Table 3: Incidence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* in cattle by examination of blood samples by PCR.

No of examined samples	<i>Babesia</i> positive		<i>Theileria</i> positive	
	+ve	%	+ve	%
275	24	8.73	60	21.82




Fig. 10: Incidence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of blood samples by PCR

Correlation between different methods used for diagnosis of blood parasite:

By examination of 158 samples by three methods of diagnosis (direct smear, IFAT, and PCR), the results showed that 2 samples were positive for babesiosis by the three methods of diagnosis (direct smear, IFAT, PCR), 16 samples were positive by PCR and direct smear, 2 samples positive by PCR and IFAT, 1 sample was positive by PCR only, and 21 samples positive by IFAT only.

In case of the diagnosis of theileriosis by the three methods, the results showed that 10 samples were positive by three methods of diagnosis, 29 samples were positive by PCR and direct smear, 2 samples were positive by PCR and IFAT, 3 samples were positive by PCR only, and 21 samples were positive by IFAT only.

Total positive samples by different methods of diagnosis were 42 (26.6%) for *Babesia* and 65 (40.41%) for *Theileria*.

Table 4: Incidence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of blood samples by direct microscope, IFAT, and PCR.

No of examined samples	Positive babesia samples	Positive theileria samples	Total positive samples
PCR, IFAT, direct smear	2	10	12
PCR, IFAT	2	2	4
PCR, direct smear	16	29	45
IFAT	21	21	42
PCR	1	3	4
Total	42	65	107




Fig. 11: Incidence of *Babesia* and *Theileria* species in cattle by examination of blood samples by direct microscope, IFAT, and PCR.

Discussion

Babesiosis and Theileriosis are from the most important protozoal diseases influencing cattle. In particular, these diseases are severe among foreign breeds (Yin, et al. 1997). In the present study, the result obtained from Gimesa stained blood smears revealed that 33 smears (8.1%) were positive for *Babesia*. This nearly similar to the results obtained by (Chafick, 1987; and Abdel gawad, 1993) in Egypt, and (Sevri

al., 2001) in turkey. On other hand, these results were disagreed with the results obtained by (Osaki et al., 2002) in Brazil who reported that the infection rate was at 64%. Also, the results shown here are in disagreement with those obtained by (Jeon 1978) in Korea who reported that the infection rate was about 23%. This disagreement might be due to the variation of environmental conditions (temperature and humidity) which affect both parasites and vectors. The result of Gimsa stained blood smears *Theileria* in the present study revealed that 65 smears (16.05%) out of 405 were positive. This result was agreed with the result obtained by (Jeon, 1978) in Korea which were 17%, also agreed with result obtained by (Acici, 1995) in Samsun region who reported that out of 149 examined samples 26 (17%) were positive for *T. annulata*. Abu El-Magd (1980) in Quena province reported that the infection rate of 11.1% among cattle was *T. annulata* which is nearly similar to our result. Adel (2007) in Gharbia province reported that the infection rate was 11.31% among cattle were positive *annulata*.

These results were agreed with our results. However, the results shown here are in disagreement with that obtained by (Gamal El-Dien, 1993) in El-Behera province where the incidence of *T. annulata* was 65.4% by stained blood films. Also, our results showed disagreement with that obtained by (EL bahy, 1986) in Egypt who reported infection rate for *T. annulata* of 65% and 53% in cattle and buffaloes. Schoepf et al. (1984) in Somalia showed that 49.5% cattle were positive for *Theileria* spp. by blood smear. These results are completely different from our results. These disagreements may be either due to different environmental conditions or time of examination. The present study revealed that mixed infection using Gimsa stained blood smears were (2.7%) showed mixed infection of *Babesia* and *Theileria*. These results were agreed with that obtained by (Dumanli and Ozer, 1987) in Turkey who found that the infection of mixed infection in cattle for *B. bigemina* and *T. annulata* were (1.5%).

Also by examining 158 cattle serum samples for presence of *Theileria* antibodies using IFAT, the result revealed that 33 (20.9%) samples were positive. This result showed agreement with that obtained by (Sayin et al., 2003) who recorded that 34 cattle out of 155 (21%) seropositive for *T. annulata*. Also the results are in agreement with the results mentioned by (Adel, 2007) in Gharbia province who reported that the peak of incidence of *T. annulata* seropositive animals using IFAT were 27.8% and 22% among the native breed cattle examined in spring and summer, respectively. Also, the results are agreed with the results reported by (Salem, 1998) who recorded that the highest incidence of *T. annulata* by IFAT was in Bassiun where the incidence was 25.7%. Our results showed disagreement with that obtained by (Gamal El-Dien, 1993) in Behera Province who recorded that the incidence of *T. annulata* was 71.9%. Our results showed difference with that recorded by (Caille, 1987) in Somalia who found that about 71.2% of cattle had antibodies against *T. annulata*. This disagreement might be due to difference in animal species susceptibility and also may be due to variance in animal locality. By examination of 275 samples for *Babesia* infection using PCR found that 24 (8.73%) were positive. These results are similar to that mentioned by (oliveria et al., 2005) who recorded that 10% of cows were positive for *Babesia* using PCR. Also, the results are similar to the results obtained by (Figuora et al 1993; Gubbels et al., 2002). However, our results did not agree with the results obtained by (devos, 1994) in France who found that the prevalence rate of *Babesia* spp in cattle was 20%. Also, our results did not agree with result mentioned by (Mohamed, 2002) who detected 8 out of 30 cattle representing 26.7% were positive for *Babesia* infection using PCR. Also, the results shown here were disagreed with results obtained

(Rania, 2009) who mentioned that rate of infection for *Babesia* was 25.33%. This disagreement may be due to locality differences or the difference may be due to other authors might examine diseased cases only but we examine all animals either clinically affected or not.

By examination of the same samples we found that infection rate of *Theileria* us PCR was 21.8%. These results are similar to those mentioned by (Aktas et al., 2007). Also, our results agreed with result obtained by (Ogden et al., 2007) who found that 23.4% of cows positive for *Theileria* by PCR in Tanzania.

By comparing between three methods of diagnosis we found that the three methods were different in result but we should say that IFAT detect the presence of antibody for antigen. Therefore, it is not sensitive technique in diagnosis of acute cases but give us an idea about epidemiology of the disease and its prevalence. On the other hand, the best and rapid method is direct microscopic smear which is till now the best method of choice for diagnosis of blood parasites in the field but it had a limitation which is not all negative cases by direct smear are really negative. This was confirmed by PCR which gave 8 animals more positive than direct smear. Therefore, we can say that the most accurate and sensitive method for diagnosis of blood parasite is the PCR. The most rapid one was direct smear and the best one give an idea about immunity against infection is IFAT.

References

- Abdel Gawad, M. (1993): Some studies on *Babesia* species in cattle in Beni-Suef Governorate. Ph.D. Thesis (parasitology), Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- Abu El-magd, M.M. (1980): Some epidemiological studies about theileriosis at Quna Governorate. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Assiut University, Egypt.
- Acici, M. (1995): Prevalence of blood parasites in cattle in the Samsun region. *Etlik Vet. Mikrob. Dergisi* 8(1/2): 270-277.
- Adel, E. M. (2007): Studies on some blood parasites infecting farm animals in Gharbia governorate - Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, Fac. Vet. Med., Cairo University, Egypt.
- Aktas M, Altay K, Dumanli N (2005): Development of a polymerase chain reaction method for diagnosis of *Babesia ovis* infection in sheep and goats. *Vet Parasitol* 133:277-281.
- Altay K., Dumanli N., and Aktas M. (2007): Molecular identification, genetic diversity and distribution of *Theileria* and *Babesia* species infecting small ruminants. *Vet Parasitol* 147:161-165.
- Barnett, S. F. (1977): A study of the incidence of blood born parasites live stock in Southern Ghana. *Parasitic protozoa Vol IV*, ed. J. p. kreier, p. 77 New York Academic press.
- Caille, J. Y. (1987): Serological survey of the prevalence and seasonal incidence of haemoprotozoan parasites in livestock in Somalia. *Freie Univ. Berlin* 109: 109.
- Chafick, M. L. (1987): Serological tests for the field diagnosis of *Babesia* infection in calves. M.V. Sci. Thesis (parasitology), Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- Coles, H. E. (1986): "Veterinary Clinical Pathology" 4th Ed., Philadelphia, London, Toronto, Tokyo, Singapore, Hong Kong.
- De Vos, A.J., and Potgieter, F.T. (1994): Bovine babesiosis. In: Coetzer, J.A.W., Thomson, G.R., and R.C. (Eds.), *Infectious diseases of livestock*. Oxford University Press, Cape Town, South Africa 278-294.
- Dumanli, N., and Ozer, E. (1987): Elazığ yöresinde sig'irlerde go'ru'len kan parazitleri ve yayih'ları u'zerinde arast'irmalar. *Seicuk U'ni. Vet. Fak. Derg.* 3, 159-166.
- EL bahy, N. M. A. (1986): Some studies on ticks and tick borne disease among ruminant in Fara Governorate. M.V.Sc. Thesis (parasitology), Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- EI-Ghaysh, A.A. (1993): Studies on *Babesia* spp. infecting cattle and water buffaloes in Egypt. Ph.D. Thesis, (Parasitology) Fac. vet. Med. Cairo Univ.
- Figuroa J.V., Chieves L.P., Johnson G.S., Buening G.M. (1993): Multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay for the detection of *Babesia bigemina*, *Babesia bovis* and *Anaplasma marginale* DNA in blood. *Vet Parasitol* 50: 69-81.
- Gamal El-Dien, H.Y. (1993): Studies on *Theileria* protozoan among cattle in Behera Province. Master's Thesis, Faculty of Vet. Med. Alexandria University.
- Gubbels M.J., Yin H., Bai Q., Liu G., Nijman I.J., Jongejan F. (2002): The phylogenetic position of *Theileria buffeli* group in relation to other *Theileria* species. *Parasitol Res* 88: S28-32.

- Jeon, Y. (1978): A survey of babesiosis and theileriosis in Korean cattle. Korean J. Vet. Res. 18 (1): 23-2
- Leefflang, M.J., and Perie, C.D. (1972): Duration of serological response to the indirect fluorescent antibody test of cattle recovered from Theileria parva infection. Res. Vet. Sci. 14, 270-271.
- Mahmoud F.B. (2008): Comparison of different direct diagnostic methods to identify Babesia bovis Babesia bigemina in animals vaccinated with live attenuated parasites. Vet. Parasitol. 139, 231-2
- Norman, T.J. and Baily, M.A. (1997): Statistical Methods in Biology. 3rd . Cambridge University Press
- Ogden, N. H., Gwakisa, P., Swai, E., French, N. P., Fitzpatrick, J., Kambarage, D. and Bryant, M. (2002) Evaluation of PCR to detect Theileria parva in field-collected tick and bovine samples in Tanzania. Vet. Parasitol. 112 (3): 177-183.
- Oliveira-Sequeira, T.C., Oliveira, M.C., Araujo Jr., J.P., and Amarante, A.F. (2005): PCR-based detection of Babesia bovis and Babesia bigemina in their natural host Boophilus microplus and cattle. In Parasitol. 35, 105-111.
- Osaki, S. C., Vidotto, O., Marana, E. R. M., Vidotto, M. C., Yoshihara, E., Pacheco, R. C., Igarashi, M., Minho, A. P. (2002): Occurrence of antibodies against Babesia bovis and studies on natural infection in Nelore cattle, in Umuarama municipality, Parana State, Brazil. Rev. Bras. Parasitol. Vet. 11 (2) 79.
- Pollard, J.W. (1997): Basic cell culture protocols. 2nd edition, 478.
- Rania ,Y. E. (2009): Some studies on diagnosis on babesiosis. M.V.Sc. Thesis, Fac, Vet Med., Beni-Suef university, Egypt.
- Salem E. D. (1998): Studies on the transmission of blood parasites by some Arthropodes. Ph. D. Thesis (parasitology), Fac. Vet. Med. Cairo. Univ.
- Sambrook J. David and W. Russell (2001): Molecular cloning. a laboratory manual third edition by spring harbor laboratory press New York.
- Sayin , F.;Dincer,S.; Karaer,Z.; Cakmak,A.; Inci,A. ;Yukari, B.A. ;Vatansever, Z. and Nalbantoglu,S. (2003) Studies on the Epidemiology of Tropical Theileriosis (Theileria annulata Infection) in Cattle in Central Anatolia, Turkey. Tropical Animal Health and Production 35 (6): 521-539, December 2003.
- Schoepf, K., Mustafa, M. H. A. and Katende, J. M. (1984): Observation on blood parasites in domestic livestock on the lower Juba region of Somalia. Trop. Anim. Health Prod. 16 (4): 227-23
- Sevnc, F., Sevnc, M., Brdane, F. M. and Altnoz, F. (2001): Prevalence of Babesia bigemina in cattle. Rev. Med. Vet. 152 (5): 395-398.
- Yin H, Zhang Q, Gao Y, Lu B, Ma M, Lu W, Guan G, Yuan Z and Guo S (1997): Bovine blood parasites and productivity of blood parasite infections under laboratory conditions. Res. Vet. Sci., 24: 294.
- Young, A.S., Groocock, C.M., Kariuki, D.P. (1988): Integrated control of ticks and tick-borne diseases in cattle in Africa. Parasitology 96, 403-432.

ص العربي

أبقار مصدر هام لإنتاج اللحوم والألبان في مصر ولأن أمراض طفيليات الدم تسبب خسائر كبيرة نتيجة التأثير على الحيوان من ضعف عام وهزال وقلة إنتاج اللبن فقد قمنا بإجراء هذه الدراسة. أجريت الدراسة للمقارنة بين الطرق الحديثة والطرق الحديثة في تشخيص طفيليات الدم في الأبقار. أظهر فحص شرائح الدم أن نسبة الإصابة كانت ٩٨ حالة، كان منهم ٣٣ حالة إصابة بالباييزيا (٨٪) و٦٥ حالة إصابة بالتاليليريا (١٦٪). بفحص مصل الدم من عدد ١٥٨ عينة باستخدام الاختبار الفلورسيني المضى وجد أن الأجسام المناعية المضادة للباييزيا موجودة في عدد ٢٥ عينة (١٦٪) وكانت الأجسام المناعية المضادة للتاليليريا موجودة في ٣٣ عينة (٢٠,٩٪). باستخدام اختبار البلمرة المتسلسل لنا عينة دم من ٢٧٥ حيوان بعد فصل ال دي إن إيه (المادة الوراثية) الخاص بطفيل الدم وكانت النتيجة وجود ٢٤ عينة للباييزيا (٨,٧٢٪) وعدد ٦٠ عينة إيجابية للتاليليريا (١,٨٢٪). بمقارنة الطرق التشخيصية المختلفة والتي نت في ١٥٨ عينة فقط كانت النتائج كالتالي: ١٢ عينة كانت موجبه بالثلاث طرق، عدد ٤٥ عينة موجبه باستخدام البلمرة المتسلسل وشرائح الدم، ٤ عينات موجبه باستخدام الاختبار الفلورسيني المضى واختبار البلمرة المتسلسل عينات موجبه باستخدام اختبار البلمرة المتسلسل وحده، وأخيرا ٤١ عينة موجبه باستخدام الاختبار الفلورسيني المضى