

**BIO-EFFICACY OF TWO BACTERIAL INSECTICIDE STRAINS OF
BACILLUS THURINGIENSIS AS A BIOLOGICAL CONTROL AGENT
IN COMPARISON WITH A NEMATICIDE, PHENAMIPHOS, ON
CERTAIN PARASITIC NEMATODES.**

G.Y.OSMAN, F.M. SALEM AND A. GHATTAS
Zoology Dept., fac. Science; Plant prot. Dept., Fac. Agric.
Menoufia Univ., Egypt.

ABSTRACT

A greenhouse experiment was conducted to determine the possibility use of commercial bacterial pathogen insecticide, Bacillus thuringiensis, as biocontrol agent against Meloidogyne javanica and Tylenchulus semipenetrans nematodes.

The obtained results indicate that that the two strains of B. thuringiensis suppressed the total nematode populations and egg-mass production of Meloidogyne javanica and Tylenchulus semipenetrans. Also they could remarkably, reduce the percentage of egg hatchability of the two nematode genera. SAN 415 Bacillus strain was highly effective than Diple strain and surpassed it in this respect, but it was approximately similar to the standard nematicide phenamiphos.

INTRODUCTION

Several research attempts have been done to find safe and effective pesticides to control economic pests. Relatively few pathogens have been exploited as pest control agents. Some of these agents have shown much potential and offer an excellent alternative to chemical pesticides. The bacterial pathogen insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis* is one of the most common microbial insecticide in use today.

Bacillus penetrans is an obligate pathogen of some plant parasitic nematodes (Mankau, 1975), and has a life cycle remarkably adapted to parasitism (Mankau and Imbriani, 1975). Its spores which attack *Meloidogyne* spp. attach to the cuticle of second stage juveniles in soil and germinate after the spore-encumbered juveniles enter roots and initiate feeding feeding (Sayre and Wergin, 1977). They added that a germ tube penetrates the cuticle and the pathogen then proliferates through the body of the developing nematode. The diseased females of nematodes, with *B. penetrans*, reproduced little or not at all at maturity (Mankau, 1980 and Mankau and Prasad, 1972). Therefore, *B. penetrans* has the attributes of successful biological control agent against root-knot nematodes (Mankau, 1980 and Sayre, 1980).

The bio-efficacy of the bacterial pathogen-insecticide, *B. thuringiensis* on many insects was studied by several investigators, i.e., Sheta et al. (1979); Hamed and Fawzia (1984); Abou-Baker et al. (1984); abdel-Megeed et al. (1984); Osborne et al. (1985); and Hauflera and Knuz (1985).

Little information was found in the literature on the efficacy of the microbial insecticide, *B. thuringiensis*, on plant parasitic nematodes (Ignoff and Fropkin, 1977). From this point of view, the present work was conducted to determine the efficacy of two strains of the commercial bacterial pathogen insecticides in comparison with a standard chemical nematicide, Phenamiphos as a biological control agent on two parasitic nematode genera.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A greenhouse experiment was carried out using tomato, *Lycopersicon esculentum* cv. Pritchard and Balady orange, *Citrus sinensis* cv. Balady as host plants for *Meloidogyne javanica* and *Tylenchulus semipenetrans*, respectively. We used 20 cm in diameter clay pots containing 2 Kg sterilized sandy soil. There were eight treatments (each replicated 4 times) as follows :

- (1) *M. javanica* alone, alone,
- (2) *M. javanica* + *B. thuringiensis* (SAN 415),
- (3) *M. javanica* + *B. thuringiensis* (Dipel),
- (4) *M. javanica* + Phenamiphos,
- (5) *T. semipenetrans* alone,
- (6) *T. semipenetrans* + *B. thuringiensis*, (SAN 415),
- (7) *T. semipenetrans* + *B. thuringiensis* (Dipel) and,
- (8) *T. semipenetrans* + Phenamiphos.

The tested commercial bacterial pathogen insecticide, *B. thuringiensis* Berliner, was used as SAN 415 (32000 I.U./mg) at the rate of 5 kg/feddan (0.05 g/l kg soil) and Dipel (16000 I.U./mg) at the rate of 5 kg/feddan (0.05 g/l kg soil). The systemic granular nematocidie, phenamiphos, o-ethyl-o(3-methyl-4-methylthiophenyl)-isopropylamido-phosphate, (Nemacur 10 G) was applied at the rate of 10 kg/feddan (0.1 g/l kg soil). Immediately following the addition of nematode inocula (600 2nd stage larvae of each genus/pot), the bacterial insecticide or Nemacur were added to soil as side-treatment. The experiment was maintained 75 days after nematode inoculation in the greenhouse at 28 ± 5°C. Plants were then removed from soil and the roots were washed and one

gram roots of each replicate was stained with acid fuchsin in cold lactephenol for counting nematode population and number of egg masses. The percentages of eggs hatchability was determined by incubating separate freshly extracted egg-masses from each treatment in Baerman's funnels at 28°C.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nematode populations in treatments of *M. javanica* or *T. Semipenetrans* combined with *B. thuringiensis* or phenamiphos were significantly lower than those in the treatments of *M. javanica* or *T. semipenetrans* alone (Table 1 & 2). Similarly the number eggmasses in the treatments of nematode and either bacterial insecticide or Nema-cur in tomato and citrus roots were significantly lower than in the treatments of *M. javanica* or *T. semipenetrans* singly. In the two experiments, fewer eggs hatched in treatments of either *Bacillus* or Nema-cur than that of the nematode alone.

Nematode population in treatments of SAN 415 or Nema-cur did not differ significantly from each other but there were significant differences between SAN 415 or Nema-cur and Dipel treatment.

Accordingly, *B. thuringiensis* suppressed nematode population and number of egg-masses of both nematode genera. These results confirm earlier reports of Mankau (1980) and Mankau and Prasad (1972), in which greenhouse tomatoes had fewer galls on roots when grown in soil containing *M. incognita* and *B. penetrans* than in soil without the bacterium. Our results, also, confirm the report of Stirling (1984) who observed that *B. penetrans* significantly reduced populations of *M. javanica*.

Bio-efficacy of two bacterial insecticide....

Likely, Brown and Smart (1985) findings were in agree with these results. They reported that *B. penetrans* inhibited penetration by *M. incognita* second stage juvenile into tomato roots in laboratory and greenhouse.

To a lesser extent, *B. thuringiensis* also reduced the percentage of hatchability of eggs of *M. javanica* *T. semipenetrans*. These results are in harmony with those of Benjamin and Smart (1987) who reported that *B. penetrans* reduced the root galling and eggmass production by *M. incognita*. They also reported that *B. penetrans* reduced the percentage hatch of eggs. They added that *B. penetrans* attacks second stage juveniles, kill some of them, those that survive and become adult females produce few or no eggs but instead their bodies become filled with spores of *B. penetrans*.

Finally, it could be concluded that the efficiency of commercial bacterial insecticide, *B. thuringiensis* SAN 415 is approximately similar to that of Nematicur, taking in our mind it is safe. So, it can be used safely as a biocontrol agent rather than the chemical pesticide to avoid hazards of environmental pollution.

Table (1) : Effect of two strains of the commercial pathogen insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, on nematode population, egg-masses count, and egg-hatch of *Meloidogyne javanica* on tomato,

Treatment	Average No. of nematode population per 1 g roots	% Reduction	Egg-masses count/1 g roots	% egghatch
<i>Meloidogyne javanica</i> alone	24	-	15	83
<i>M. javanica</i> + <i>B. thuringiensis</i> SAN 415	6	75.0	5	63
<i>M. javanica</i> + <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (Dipel)	10	58.33	7	66
<i>M. Javanica</i> + Phenamiphos	5	79.16	4	59
L.S.D. at 5% level	5.11		1.37	

Bio-efficacy of two bacterial insecticide....

Table (2): Effect of two strains of the commercial pathogen insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis*, on nematode population, egg-masses count and egg hatch of *Tylenchulus semipenetrans* on orange.

Treatment	Average No. of nematode population per 1 g roots	% Reduction	egg-masses count/1 g roots	% egg hatch
<i>Tylenctulus semipenetrans</i> alone	138	-	38	75
<i>T. semipenetrans</i> + <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (SAN 415)	27.6	80	10	59
<i>T. semipenetrans</i> + <i>B. thuringiensis</i> (Dipel)	82.7	60	40	66
<i>T. semipenetrans</i> + Phenamiphos	20.37	85	8	54
L.S.D. at 5% level	11.37		4.78	

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Megeed, M.L.; El-Refael, S.A. and Zidan, A.A. (1984): The joint action of microbial and chemical insecticide combinations on the cotton leafworm, *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd). Bull. ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser., 14:119-126.
- Abou-Bakr, H.E.; El-Husseini, M.M. and Tawfik, M.F.S. (1984): Studies on the entomopathogenic *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolated from larvae of the American bollworm, *Heliothis armigera* (HBN.) in Egypt. Bull. ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser., 14:23-28.
- Benjamin, D. and Smart, G.C. (1987): Biological control of *Meloidogyne incognita* by *Paecilomyces lilacinus* and *Pasteuria penetrans*. J. Nematol. 19(2):222-227.
- Brown, S.M. and Smart, G.C. (1985): Root penetration by *Meloidogyne incognita* juveniles infected with *Bacillus penetrans*. J. Nematol. 17(2):123-126.
- Hamed, A.R. and Fawizia, A.H. (1984): Persistence and virulence of *Bacillus thuringiensis* under sunny shady conditions. Bull. ent. Soc. Egypt, Econ. Ser., 14:73-77.
- Hauflera, M. and Kunz, S.B. (1985): Laboratory evaluation of an exotoxin from *Bacillus thuringiensis* subsp. *morrisoni* to horn fly larvae (Diptera: Muscidae) and mice. J. Econ. Entom. 78 (3) : 613-616.
- Ignoffo, C.M., and Dropkin, V.H. (1977): Deleterious effects of the therostable toxin of *Bacillus thuringiensis* on species of soil-inhabiting, myceliophagous, and plantparasitic nematodes. J. Kans Entomol. Soc. 50: 394-398.

Bio-efficacy of two bacterial insecticide....

- Mankau, R. (1975): *Bacillus penetrans* n.comb. causing a virulent disease of plant parasitic nematodes. J. Invertebr.Pathol. 26:333-339.
- Mankau, R. (1980): biological control of nematode pests by natural enemies. Annual Review of phytopathology. 128:415-440.
- Mankau, R. and Imbriani, J.L. (1975): The life cycle of an endoparasite in some Tylenchid nematodes. Nematologica. 21:89-94.
- Mankau, R. and Prasad, N. (1972): Possibilities and problems in the use of a sporozoan endoparasite for biological control of plant parasitic nematodes, nematologica, 2:7-8.
- Osborne, L.S.; Boucias, D.G. and Lindquist, R.K. (1985): Activity of *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. israelensis on *Bradysia coprophila* (Diptera: Seiaridae) J. Econ. Entom. 78(4):922-925.
- Sayre, R.M. (R.M. (1980): Biocontrol: *Bacillus penetrans* and related parasite of nematodes. J. Nematol. 12:260-270.
- Sayre, R.M. and Wergin, W.P.(1977): Bacterial parasite of a plant nematode: morphology and ultrastructure. J. Bacteriol. 129:1091-1101.
- Sheta, I.B.; Karaman, G.A. and Gharib, A.H. (1979): The joint action of the bio-insecticide, *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. Alesti and certain organophosphorus insecticide against the cotton bollworms. Proc, 3rd Pesticide Conf: Tanta Univ., 1:220-230.
- Stirling, G.R. (1984): Biological control of *Meloidogyna* with *Bacillus penetrans*. Phytopathology. 74(1):55-60.