



Classes of harmonic starlike functions defined by Ruscheweyh-type q-differential operators

*M. A. Mowafy*¹, *A. O. Mostafa*¹ and *S. M. Madian*³

^{1,2} Dept. of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt

³ Basic Science Dept. Higher Institute of Eng. Tec, New Damietta, Egypt).

* Correspondence to: (¹ mohamed1976224@gmail.com, tel:010031172805)

Received: 23/7/2023
 Accepted: 16/10/2023

Abstract :Sufficient and necessary coefficient bounds and other properties are obtained for a class of $M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$, extreme points of closed convex hulls, and distortion theorems are determined for a family of harmonic starlike functions of complex order involving Ruscheweyh-type q-differential operator.

keywords: Harmonic univalent functions, q-calculus, Ruscheweyh-type differential operator and distortion theorems

Introduction

Let Λ be the class of functions:

$$h(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$. Also let δ denote the subclass of Λ consisting of univalent functions in U .

For h given by (1.1) and g given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product

(Or convolution) is

$$(h * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k.$$

For $h \in \delta, 0 < q < 1$, the q-derivative operator ∇_q is given by

(Jackson [7]) and other authors studied q-derivative operator ∇_q such as ([1-5], [10]).

$$\nabla_q h(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{h(z) - h(qz)}{(1-q)z} & , z \neq 0 \\ h'(z) & , z = 0 \end{cases}$$

that is

$$\nabla_q h(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k z^{k-1},$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q},$$

$$[k]_q = 0.$$

(Kannas and Răducanu [9])

introduced and investigated the Ruscheweyh type q- differential operator

$$R_q^m h(z) = h(z) * F_{q,m+1}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a_k z^k, m > -1,$$

(1.3)

where

$$F_{q,m+1}(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) z^k.$$

$$\text{and } X_q = \frac{\Gamma_q(k+m)}{(k-1)! \Gamma_q(k+m)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} R_q^0 h(z) &= h(z) \\ R_q^1 h(z) &= z \nabla_q h(z) \dots \\ R_q^m h(z) &= \frac{z \nabla_q^m (z^{m-1} h(z))}{m!} = z + \\ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Let M be the family of harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}$ that are orientation preserving and univalent in U where h as in (1.1) and

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1.6)$$

and $\bar{M} \subset M$ consisting of $f = h + \bar{g}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} h(z) &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g(z) = \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0 \text{ and } b_k \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

For $f \in M$, $R_q^m g(z)$, be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} R_q^0 g(z) &= g(z) \\ R_q^1 h(z) &= z \nabla_q g(z) \dots \\ R_q^m g(z) &= \frac{z \nabla_q^m (z^{m-1} g(z))}{m!} = z + \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) b_k z^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

Recently, (Jahangiri [8]) applied q -difference operators to classes of harmonic functions and obtained coefficient bounds for such functions. Motivated by ([8] and [9]), we define the class $M_q^m(\alpha)$ of Ruscheweyh-type q -calculus harmonic functions $M_q^m(\alpha)$ consisting of $f \in M$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} \right) \geq \alpha; \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1,$$

where $R_q^m h(z)$ and $R_q^m g(z)$ are, respectively, given by (1.5), (1.8) and

$$\begin{aligned} R_q^m f(z) &= \\ R_q^m h(z) &+ (-1)^m \overline{R_q^m g(z)}, \quad m > -1. \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

The subfamily $\bar{M}_q^m(\alpha) \subset M_q^m(\alpha)$ consists of harmonic functions $f = h + \bar{g}_m$ for which

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad g_m(z) =$$

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \quad a_k \geq 0 \text{ and } b_k \geq 0. \quad (1.10)$$

Definition 1:

For non-zero complex number τ with $|\tau| \leq 1$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ let $M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$ be the subclass of $f \in M$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 + e^{i\gamma}) \frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \right] \right\} > \alpha \quad (1.11)$$

and

$$\bar{M}\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha) \subset M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha) \cap \bar{M}.$$

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} (i) M\delta_q^m(1, \gamma, \alpha) &\equiv M\delta_q^m(\gamma, \alpha) \quad (\text{see [11]}) \\ : \operatorname{Re} \left[(1 + e^{i\gamma}) \frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - e^{i\gamma} \right] &> \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

$$(ii) M\delta_q^m(\tau, 0, \alpha) \equiv M\delta_q^m(\tau, \alpha) \quad (\text{see [6] Definition 1}):$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 + \frac{2}{\tau} \left(\frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - 1 \right) \right] > \alpha.$$

2 Main section

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume that $|\tau| \leq 1$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $m > -1$, $0 < q < 1$ and $X_q(k, m)$ is given by (1.4).

Theorem 2.1. For $f \in M$. If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{[1+m]_q} x_q(k, m) |a_k|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} + \frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{[1+m]_q} x_q(k, m) |b_k|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} \right] \leq 2 \quad (2.1)$$

then f is orientation-preserving harmonic univalent in U and $f \in M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Proof.

Let (2.1) hold, first we prove that f orientation-preserving in U it is sufficient to show that $|R_q^m h(z)| \geq |R_q^m g(z)|$.

$$\begin{aligned}
|R_q^m h(z)| &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m+1) |a_k| r^{k-1} \\
&> 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m+1) |a_k|. \\
&\geq 1 \\
&- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |a_k| \right\} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |b_k| \right\} \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m+1) |b_k| \\
&\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m+1) |b_k| r^{k-1} \geq |R_q^m g(z)|
\end{aligned}$$

If $z_1 \neq z_2$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{f(z_1) - f(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{h(z_1) - h(z_2)} \right| \\
&= 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)}{(z_1 - z_2) + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k (z_1^k - z_2^k)} \right| \\
&> 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k |a_k|} \\
&\geq 1 - \frac{\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |b_k|}{\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |a_k|} \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the univalence of f .

Finally, we prove that $f \in M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Using the fact that,

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(\omega(z)) \geq \alpha &\Leftrightarrow |1 - \alpha + \omega| \\
&\geq |1 + \alpha - \omega|,
\end{aligned}$$

we may show that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| [2\tau - \alpha\tau - (1 + e^{i\gamma})] \left[R_q^m h(z) + \right. \right. \\
&(-1)^m \overline{R_q^m g(z)} \left. \right] (1 + e^{i\gamma}) [R_q^{m+1} h(z) - \\
&(-1)^m \overline{R_q^{m+1} g(z)}] \left. \right| - \left| (1 + \alpha\tau +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{i\gamma}) \left[R_q^m h(z) + (-1)^m \overline{R_q^m g(z)} \right] - \\
&(1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left[R_q^{m+1} h(z) - \right. \\
&(-1)^m \overline{R_q^{m+1} g(z)} \left. \right] \left. \right| \geq 0. \\
&\left| [2\tau - \alpha\tau - (1 + e^{i\gamma})] [z + \right. \\
&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a_k z^k + \\
&(-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) b_k \bar{z}^k] + \\
&(1 + e^{i\gamma}) [z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m + \\
&1) a_k z^k - (-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m + \\
&1) b_k \bar{z}^k] \left. \right| - \left| (1 + \alpha\tau + e^{i\gamma}) [z + \right. \\
&\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m + 1) a_k z^k + \\
&(-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m + 1) b_k \bar{z}^k] - \\
&(1 + e^{i\gamma}) [z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a_k z^k - \\
&(-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) b_k \bar{z}^k] \left. \right| \geq \\
&(2 - \alpha) |\tau| |z|
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| (2 - \alpha)\tau + (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left(\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + \right. \right. \\
&1) (2 - \alpha)\tau \left. \right| X_q(k, m) |a_k| |z^k| - \\
&\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left(\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 1 \right) - \right. \\
&(2 - \alpha)\tau \left. \right| X_q(k, m) |b_k| |z^k| \\
&- \alpha |\tau| |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left(\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 1 \right) \right. \\
&- \alpha\tau \left. \right| X_q(k, m) |a_k| |z^k| \\
&- \alpha |\tau| |z| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left(\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 1 \right) \right. \\
&- \alpha\tau \left. \right| \\
&X_q(k, m) |a_k| |z^k| \\
&- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \left(\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} \right. \right. \\
&+ 1) + \alpha\tau \left. \right| X_q(k, m) |b_k| |z^k|.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq 2(1-\alpha)|\tau||z|\{2 \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |a_k| \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} X_q(k, m) |b_k| \right] \right\} \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

The function

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|} x_{kz^k} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|} \bar{y}_k \bar{z}^k \end{aligned}$$

Where $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |y_k| = 1$, which give the sharpness for (2.1).

Theorem 2.2. Let $f_m = h + \bar{g}_m$

given by (1.10), $a_1 = 1$ Then f_m is orientation-preserving harmonic univalent in U and $f_m \in M_q^m$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} x_q(k, m) a_k \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} x_q(k, m) b_k \right] \leq$$

2. (2.2)

Proof. Since $\bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha) \subset M_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$,

then first part hold from theorem 2.1. The second part, we will show that if (2.2) does not hold then $f_m \notin \bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

For $f_m \notin \bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1}{\tau} \left[(1 + e^{i\gamma}) \frac{R_q^{m+1} f(z)}{R_q^m f(z)} - (1 + e^{i\gamma}) \right] \right\} \geq$$

α .

Or equivalently

Re

$$\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)\tau z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(1-\alpha)\tau + \frac{[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} - 1 \right] (e^{i\gamma} + 1) z_q(k, m) a^k z^k}{\tau \left[z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} z_q(k, m) a^k z^k + (-1)^{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^k \right]} \right\}$$

Re

$$\left\{ \frac{(-1)^{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} - 1 \right] (e^{i\gamma} + 1) - (1-\alpha)\tau \right] \bar{\tau} X_q(k, m) a^k z^k}{\tau \left[z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k z^k + (-1)^{2m} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^k \right]} \right\}$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|^2 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(1-\alpha)\tau + \frac{[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} - 1 \right] (e^{i\gamma} + 1) X_q(k, m) a^k}{|\tau|^2 \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1} + \frac{z}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right]} \right\}$$

Re

$$\left\{ \frac{\frac{z}{z} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[(1-\alpha)\tau + \frac{[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} - 1 \right] (e^{i\gamma} + 1) X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1}}{|\tau|^2 \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1} + \frac{z}{z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right]} \right\} \geq$$

0

The above condition must hold $\forall \gamma, |z| = r < 1$ and $0 < |\tau| < 1$. for $\gamma = 0$ and $|\tau| = \tau$ let $0 < z = r < 1$. then (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|^2 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} - 2 \right] (1-\alpha)\tau |\tau| X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1}}{|\tau|^2 \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right]} \\ &- \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1-m]_q} + 2 \right] (1-\alpha)\tau |\tau| X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1}}{|\tau|^2 \left[1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k z^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} X_q(k, m) a^k \bar{z}^{k-1} \right]} \geq \end{aligned}$$

0

Observer that the numerator in (2.3) is negative if (2.2) does not hold. Thus \exists appoint $z_0 = r_0$ in (0.1) for which (2.4) is negative, which contradicts (1.11) for $f_m \in \bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$. hence the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.3. Let f_m be given by (1.10), then it is orientation preserving harmonic univalent in U and $f_m \in \bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$ if and only

$$\operatorname{if} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)} X_q(k, m) a_k \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)} X_q(k, m) b_k \right] \leq 2.$$

Theorem 2.4. let f_m be given by (1.10), then $f_m \in \operatorname{clco} \bar{M}_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$f_m = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k h_k + Y_k g_m),$$

(2.5)

Where

$$h_1(z) = z, h_k(z) =$$

$$z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{X_q(k, m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} z^k, k =$$

2, 3, ...;

$$g_m(z) =$$

$$z + (-1)^m \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{x_q(k, m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{[1+m]_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \bar{z}^k$$

, $k=1,2,\dots$;

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) = 1, \quad X_k \geq 0 \text{ and } Y_k \geq 0$$

In particular, the extreme points of $clco\bar{M}\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$ are $\{h_k\}$ and $\{g_{m_k}\}$

Proof. Assume that f_m as in (2.5), then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k h_k + Y_k g_{m_k}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) z \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} X_k z^k \\ &\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{x_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \bar{z}^k \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} \\ &\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \right\} X_k \\ &+ \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} \\ &\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \right\} Y_k \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} Y_k = 1 - X_1 \leq 1.$$

Thus $f_m \in clco\bar{M}\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f_m \in clco\bar{M}\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$.

Set

$$X_k = \frac{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} |a_k|, \quad k =$$

2,3, ...,

and

$$Y_k = \frac{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} |b_k|, \quad k =$$

1,2, ...,

Where $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (X_k + Y_k) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_m &= z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k + (-1)^m \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k \bar{z}^k = z - \\ &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} X_k z^k + \end{aligned}$$

$$(-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(k,m) \left[\frac{2[k+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} Y_k \bar{z}^k =$$

$$\begin{aligned} &z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [X_k (h_k(z) - z)] \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [Y_k (g_{m_k}(z) - z)] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [X_k (h_k(z) + Y_k (g_{m_k}))] \end{aligned}$$

As required.

Theorem 2.5. Let $f_m \in \bar{M}\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$

where $|z| = r < 1$. then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_m| &\leq \\ (1 + \tau_1)r + &\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} - \right. \\ &\left. \frac{4 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} |\tau_1| \right\} r^2, \quad (2.6) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f_m| &\leq \\ (1 - \tau_1)r - &\left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} - \right. \\ &\left. \frac{4 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} |\tau_1| \right\} r^2. \quad (2.7) \end{aligned}$$

$$|f_m| \leq (1 + \tau_1)r + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|) r^k \leq (1 + \tau_1)r + r^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| + |b_k|) = (1 + \tau_1)r +$$

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau| r^2}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right] |a_k| +$$

$$\frac{\left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} |b_k| \leq (1 + \tau_1)r +$$

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau| r^2}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \times \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right] |a_k| +$$

$$\frac{\left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} + 2 - (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} |b_k| \leq (1 + \tau_1)r +$$

$$\frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} \times r^2 \left[1 - |\tau_1| \frac{4 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{(1-\alpha)|\tau|} \right]$$

$$\leq (1 + \tau_1)r + \left\{ \frac{(1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} - \right. \\ \left. \frac{4 - (1-\alpha)|\tau|}{X_q(2,m) \left[\frac{2[2+m]_q}{(1+m)_q} - 2 + (1-\alpha)|\tau| \right]} |\tau_1| \right\} r^2.$$

3 Conclusion

In this paper we determined coefficient bounds and other properties are obtained for a class of $M\delta_q^m(\tau, \gamma, \alpha)$ extreme points of closed convex hulls, and distortion theorems are determined for a family of harmonic starlike functions of complex order involving Ruscheweyh-type q -differential operator

4 Acknowledgement

The authors wish to thank Prof. Dr. M. K. Aouf for his kind encouragement and help in the preparation of this paper.

References

- 1 M. H. Abu-Risha, M. H. Annaby, M. E. H. Ismail and S. Mansour, Linear q - (2007), difference equations, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **26** 481-494.
- 2 M. H. Annaby and Z. S. Mansour, q - (2012) Fractional Calculus and Equations, Lecture notes in Math., vol. 2056. Springer, Berlin
- 3 M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, (2020), Subordination results for analytic functions associated with fractional q calculus operators with complex order, *Afr. Mat.*, 31 1387-1396.
- 4 M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, (2020), some subordinating results for classes of functions defined by Sălăgean type q derivative operator, *Filomat.*, 34 no. 7, 2283-2292.
- 5 M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and R. E. (2021), functions with varying arguments associated with q difference operator, *Afrika Math.*, **32** 621-630
- 6 T. Bulboaca, M. A. Nasr and G. F. Sălăgean, A (1992), generalization of some classes of starlike functions of complex order, *Mathematica (Cluj)*, 34, no. 57, 113-118.
- 7 F. H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain (1908), difference operator, *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb.* 46 64-72.
- 8 J. M. Jahangiri, (2018), Harmonic univalent functions defined by q -calculus operators, *Inter. J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 5 no. 2, 39-43.
- 9 S. Kanas, D. Răducanu, (2014), some subclass of analytic functions related to conic domains, *Math. Slovaca* 64 no. 5, 1183-1196.
- 10 A. O. Mostafa and Z. M. Saleh, (2001) On a class of uniformly analytic functions with q -analogue, *Int. J. Open Problems Complex Analysis*, 13 no. 2, 1-13.
- 11 T. Rosy, B. A. Stephen, K. G. Subramanian and J. M. Jagangiri, Goodman-Rønning (2001), type harmonic univalent functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 41 45-54.