

**RESIDUAL ACTIVITY STUDY OF CERTAIN GROUND
SPRAYING EQUIPMENT FOR CONTROLLING BEAN
APHIDS WITH PRIMICARB INSECTICIDE ON
Vicia fabae (L.) PLANTS IN EGYPT**



Rehab A. A. Dar¹ and Laila E. M. Seleman²

1-spray technology Res., Dept., Plant Protec., Res., Inst.,
(A.R.C), Dokki, Giza

2-Bollworm Res., Dept., Plant Protec., Res., Inst., (A.R.C),
Dokki, Giza

ABSTRACT

Field experiments were carried out in an area of about 2 feddan planted with bean plants variety (Sakha 2) *Vicia faba* (L).during season 2014 in 12th December at Sakha ,Kafr Elsheikh Governorate. The selected area was split into 7 plots including control plot. Primicarb was sprayed with the rate of recommended and 3/4 recommended dose rate and one treatment left without spraying as control by using Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer (Solo) (22 L./Fed.), Economy Micron ULVA sprayer (15 L/Fed.) and Conventional motor sprayer (Wisconsin) (400 L/Fed.) Data indicated that, all tested doses revealed significant negative influenced on *Aphis fabae* survival. The most effective is total recommended dose followed by 3/4 recommended dose. It could be recommended to use these compound with LV spraying equipment with not less than (15L/Fed.).The data showed that Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer (Solo) was the best equipment to control *Aphis fabae* on bean plants. The rate of performance of Solo sprayer was 10 Fed./day. It was the best equipment, but the lowest rate of performance was Wisconsin motor sprayer since it could spray only 2.5 Fed./day.

Keywords: *Vicia fabae* L., *Aphis fabae*, Primicarb, LV,HV and Ground spraying techniques.

INTRODUCTION

Piercing and sucking insects are dangerous pests which infested bean plants and cause great hazards to it after short period of cultivation. In Egypt, majority of interest was directed to the type, dosage of insecticides used, while a lesser attention was given to the application methods. A comparative studies on the efficiency of certain ground sprayers was carried out by (Hindy, 1992) , who recorded significant variation in the spray deposit due to arrangement of the nozzles, spray technique and rate of application. The world attention was directed to minimization of spraying volumes and the costs of control pests which may be achieved by using a cheap and effective insecticide or using developmental ground spraying technique with low cost of application per feddan (Magdoline *et al* ,1992) and(Mathews,1992) . Also, Himel (1969) defined the optimum droplet size for spraying insecticide as that, which gives best control results of the target insect with minimum insecticide and minimum ecosystem contamination. According to Bouse *et al*.(1986), Gohich (1983), Reichard *et al*.(1977) and Yates and Cowden(1985), the droplet size was a combined function of spraying equipment chemical formulation and ambient conditions.This work aimed to

determine the best equipment and rate of application controlling *fabae* Aphids on bean plants under field conditions .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tested Compound:-

1. Primicarb (Aphox®), 50% D.G. , 50 gm/ 100L water.

Spraying equipment tested on Bean plants:-

Three ground application machines were selected to perform the scope of this work as follows:

1. Economy Micron ULVA sprayer (15L./fed.)
2. Hand held Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer (Solo) (22 L./fed.)
3. Conventional ground motor sprayer (Wisconsin) (400 L./fed.)

The tested equipment could be represented according to the technical categorization mentioned in Table (1). Calculations of productivity and rate of performance were recorded as described by Hindy (1992).

Execution of field experiments:-

Arrangements of the experiments

Field experiments were carried out during season 2014 on 12th December in private bean field located at Sakha District, Kafr Elshiekh Governorate. The bean cultivated with variety of Sakha 2, the experiment was done under local meteorological conditions of 16°C average temperature, 60% average RH and 3 m/sec. average of wind velocity. The selected area of two feddans was split into 7 plots including control plot. The area of each plot was 6.8 kirats, four rows of Bean plants between treatments were not sprayed as barrier zones to avoid drift spray between treatments, spraying operations have not been done with any insecticides before execution the field experiment. The experimental field was sprayed with recommended and 3/4 recommended dose of Primicarb, and one treatment left without spraying as a control, respectively. In each plot twenty five bean plants were selected and remarked to define *Aphis fabae* adults numbers before and after spraying.

Bioassay Procedure:-

Field experiment was conducted in bean field highly infested with bean aphid *Aphis fabae*, on plant. In order to evaluate the tested compounds on them, pre-treatment count was recorded before spraying at five marked plants for each treatment, and post-treatment count was recorded after 3 days from treatment to determine the effect of the tested chemicals. According to Bakr *et al* (2014), the 2nd spray takes place after 15days from the 1st spray.

Phytotoxic effect:-

It was determined by recording any color change, leaf curling or flaming up to 8 days after spraying, according to Badr *et al.* (1995).

Calculation and data analysis:

- a. Reduction Percentages of aphids in the field experiment was calculated according to the equation of Henderson and Tilton(1955).

b. Statistical analysis of results according to SAS (1996) for Biological studies: Duncan's for biological evaluation of insecticides in field.

Calibration and performance adjustment of the tested equipment:

- Collection and measurement of Spray deposit:

Collection of spray deposit:

Before spraying each bean treatment, a sampling line was constructed of five wire holder fixed in diagonal line inside each treatment to collect lost spray between plants; each wire holder top had a fixed water sensitive paper (Novartis Cards) on it, also, the water sensitive paper cards were put on five plants ; to collect the droplets deposit on bean leaves, were designed according to the method described by Hindy (1989). All cards were collected and transferred carefully inside paper. Involve all the data to the laboratory for measuring and calculating the number of droplets/cm² and its volume mean diameter (VMD) μm in all treatments.

Determination of spray deposit:

Number and size of blue spots (deposited droplets) on water sensitive papers (Novartis cards) were measured with a special scaled monocular lens (Strüben) ® (15X) Japanes lens. The volume mean diameter (VMD) μm and number of droplets in one square centimeter (N/cm²) were estimated according to Hindy (1992).

Table (1): Techno-Operational data of certain ground sprayers applied on bean field during season (2014).

Items	Hydraulic Knapsack(Solo) sprayer	Spinning disc ULVA sprayer	Conventional motor sprayer(Wisconsin)
Type of atomization	Piston pump+ pressure glass	Rotary	Mecanical Hydraulic
Nozzle type	Tx-4	One restirector	Spray gun (hollow cone)
Pump type	Piston	-	Bean (Mechanical)
Number of nozzles	4	1	1
Pressure (bar)	4.5	-	20
Spray tank (L.)	20	1+10	600
Rate of application (L/fed.)	22	15	400
Working speed (Km/h.)	2.4	2.4	1.2
Swath width (m.)	3.5	1.5	2.0 wide angle
Flow rate (L/min.)	4 x0.180	0.180	2.5
Spray height (m.)	0.75	0.5	0.5
Type of Spraying	Target in all sprayers		
Productivity * (fed./h.)	2	0.850	0.572
Rate of performance*(fed./day)	10	6	2.5

* Number of spraying hours = 6 hours daily.

* Calculations of productivity and rate of performance after Hindy (1992).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bioresidual activity of Primicarb with 3/4 recommended dose rate against *Aphis fabae* on bean plants:

Efficiency of Primicarb with the rate of 3/4 recommended dose represented as mortality percentages after three days of treatments in Table (2) the results indicated that, the general mean reduction percentages of two sprays in population of *A.fabae* adults was 81.5 ,95.5 and 69 using Economy Micron ULVA sprayer , Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer(Solo) and Conventional motor sprayer (Wisconsin),respectively , the droplet sizes were 165, 175 and 400-800 μ m and N/cm² were 158, 165and 30 for 3/4 recommended dose sprayed with Economy Micron ULVA sprayer , Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer (Solo) and Conventional motor sprayer (Wisconsin), respectively.

Table (2):The relationship between droplet distribution obtained by the tested ground spraying equipment and the corresponding mortality of *Aphis fabae*, using the recommended and 3/ 4 recommended dose of Primicarb on bean plants, during season (2014) at Kafr El-sheikh Governorate.

Insecticide & dose rate	Tested sprayer	#VMD μ m	*N / cm ²	% Mortality
				Average (Mean Residual)
Primicarb (37.5gm\100 L water)	Micron ULVA	165	158	81.5
	Solo	175	165	95.5
	Wisconsin	400-800	30	69
Primicarb (50gm\100 L water)	Micron ULVA	160	170	91.5
	Solo	178	175	100
	Wiscoson	400-800	40	87

#VMD = Volume Mean Diameter.

*N / cm² = Number of droplets per square centimeter.

Bioresidual activity of Primicarb with total recommended dose rate against *Aphis fabae* on Bean plants:

Efficiency of Primicarb with total recommended dose rate represented as mortality percentages after three days of treatments in Table (2) the results indicated that, the general mean reduction percentages of two sprays in population of *Aphis fabae* adults were 91.5,100 and 87 using Economy Micron ULVA sprayer , Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer(Solo) and Conventional motor sprayer (Wisconsin),respectively , the droplet sizes were 160 ,178 and 400-800 μ m and N/cm² were 170, 175,and 40 for recommended dose sprayed with Economy Micron ULVA sprayer , Hydraulic Knapsack sprayer(Solo), and conventional ground motor sprayer (Wisconsin), respectively.

Relationship between lost spray on ground and the bioresidual activity of insecticide tested:

Data in Table (3) showed that when the high volume sprayer used , from 30-40% of total spray were lost and average mean residual mortality reduced according to the reduction in the amount of insecticide remains on

plants ; the highest spray volume, the highest spray lost and lowest reduction in bean aphid.

Economy Micron ULVA sprayer (15 L/fed) :

Data in Table (3) showed that there was no significant difference between recommended and 3/4 recommended dose in the lost spray percentages which were 7 & 8 % from the total spray volume in the case of 3/4 and total recommended dose rate of Primicarb, respectively. Also, the results showed that no significant difference in percentages of *A.fabae* which were 81.5 & 91.5% at same doses, respectively.

Hydraulic Knapsak (solo) sprayer(22 L/fed):

Data in Table (3) showed that there was no significant difference between recommended dose and 3/4 recommended dose in the lost spray percentages (15.9 and 14.5 %) from the total spray volume of Primicarb, respectively. Also, the results indicated that no significant difference in mortality percentages of *A.fabae* adults (100 and 95.5 %) at same doses, respectively.

Table (3):Lost spray on ground, as produced by ground spraying equipment, using Primicarb insecticide at total recommended and 3/4 recommended dose against *A.fabae* on bean plants during season (2014).

Insecticide dose rate	Tested sprayer & spray volume (L/fed.)	*N/cm ² of total spray droplet	N / cm ² droplets (on ground)	%N/cm ² (ground) ————— x 100 N/Cm ² (Plants+ground)	% Mortality
					Average (Mean Residual)
Primicarb (37.5gm\100 L water)	Micron ULVA (15)	170	12	7	81.5
	Solo(22)	193	28	14.5	95.5
	Wisconson(400)	30	10	33	69
Primicarb (50gm\100L water)	Micron ULVA (15)	185	15	8	91.5
	Solo(22)	208	33	15.9	100
	Wisconson(400)	40	12	30	87

*N/cm² =Numberof droplets per square centimeter.

Bioresidual activity of ground motor sprayer against *Aphis fabae* on bean plants:

Distribution pattern of spray droplets in case of using ground motor sprayer was very difficult to be determined. About 40% of sensitive paper cards which hanged at various parts were completely washed with spray solution. The rest of paper cards received 22.5% of spray droplets (VMD 400-500µm)while 37.5% of large droplets (VMD ca 800 µm) felt on the ground. This means that large quantities of spray were lost to the ground and it became evident that such classical spray volumes in the field were problematic because of run-off causing a corresponding soil pollution and loss of product. Also, there was a negative correlation between the lost spray and the corresponding mortality .

Relationship between the tested chemical, techniques, and the mortality percentages of *Aphis fabae* on bean plants:

Bioassay Evaluation:

To study the influence of compound and spraying techniques before and after application Abbot's formula (1925), and Hendresson & Tilton's formula (1955) were adopted to calculate the reduction percentages in the population. Table (4) showed that, the percentages of reduction of *A. fabae* on bean plants affected by Primicarb insecticide sprayed with certain ground application techniques during the season of (2014) using total and 3/4 recommended dose. The rate of performance of Solo sprayer was 10 Fed./day. It was the best equipment, but the lowest rate of performance was Wisconson motor sprayer since it could spray only 2.5 Fed./day.

The following remarks and results were obtained:

- There was no Phytotoxic effect on bean leaves after application treatments with pesticide there was no change in the leaves color, and no leaf curling or flaming up phenomena was happened in case of recommended dose and 3/4 recommended dose.
- There was a significant differences between both the distribution percentages of droplet sizes(LSD= 3.59 for equipment,3.6055 for levels and 7.2946 for doses) , for the droplets number/cm² (LSD= 1.6861 for equipment,3.2483 for levels and 1.995 for doses) and for reduction percentages(LSD=4.2025 for equipment ,and 3.4313 for doses).

Conclusion and Recommendation:

Field experiment was carried out on infested area with bean aphids adults at early season on bean plants. For evaluation the field performance of Low-Volume spraying machines; Economy Micron ULVA sprayer (15 L/fed.), Knapsack sprayer (Solo) (22 L/fed.) and a High Volume Conventional ground motor sprayer (Wisconson) (400 L/fed.); to spray Primicarb with total and 3/4 recommended dose. A satisfactory coverage was obtained on bean plants, the droplet spectrum was obtained in field experiment was agreed with the optimum droplet sizes which mentioned by Himel (1969), in case of low volume equipment.

The best obtained result was Solo sprayer(22 L/fed.) as spray volume, 178 μm and 175 droplets/cm² and the lost spray on ground was 5.9%. Primicarb with total recommended dose revealed the best bioefficiency results with the three tested sprayers, also best bioefficiency obtained results with Solo sprayer (22 L/fed.) for both doses, and these results agreed with Hindy *et al.* (2004) ,Genidy *et al.* (2005) which recommended KZ oil and Pyriproxyfen followed by Agerin using low volume spraying because of reducing the time lost in process filling the machines, improve the homogeneity of the spray solution on the plant leaves and saving the lost spray on the ground, these results also in agreement with Bakr *et al.* (2014) they recommended by using Profenofos followed by Pyriproxyfen and Spinosad with Agromondo sprayer(20L/fed.).

Also, the lowest spray volume, but the lowest percentage 8% of lost spraying between plants occurred by Economy Micron ULVA (15L/fed.) and gave 91.5%, this result was agreed with Hindy *et al.* (1997), who mentioned that, there was a positive relationship between rate of application and lost spray on ground.

It could be recommended to use Primicarb with total recommended dose followed by 3/4 dose rate with low volume (LV) spraying equipment with not less than (15L./fed.) which revealed successful results. There was a negative complete correlation between (VMD) and the mean residual of mortality of *A.fabae* while there was a positive complete correlation between N/cm² and the mean residual of mortality *A. fabae* of in all treatments.

REFERENCES

- Badr, A.N.; El-Sisi, G.A. and Abdel Meguid, M.A. (1995): Evaluation of some locally formulated petroleum oils for controlling cotton leaf worm. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 20(5): 2557-2562.
- Bakr, R. F.; Hindy, M.A., Ahmed, N. S., Genidy, N. A. and Dar, R. A. (2014): Field comparison between droplet distribution and the bioresidual activity of different insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) by using certain ground spraying equipment on cotton plants. J. Egypt. Acad. Soc. Biolo. Sci. 7(1):187-193.
- Bouse L.F., Cariton, J.B. and Jank P.C. (1986): use of polymers for control of spray droplet size. ASAE. Paper No. 86:71.
- Genidy, N.A.; Bakr, R. F.; Hindy, M. A. and Dar, R. A. (2005): Bioresidual activity of certain insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd) by using low volume ground spraying equipment on cotton plants. J. Egypt. Acad. Soc. Enviro. Develop., (A-Entomology), 6(1): 1-21.
- Gohich, H. (1983): Assessment of spray drift in sloping vineyards. Gopprot. 2(1):37-49.
- Henderson, C.F. and Tilton, E.W.(1955): Tests with acaricides against the brown wheat mite. J. Econ. Entomol., 48:157-161.
- Himel, C.M. (1969): The optimum size for insecticide spray droplets. J. Econ. Entomol., 62 (4): 919-925.
- Hindy, M.A. (1992): Qualitative distribution of watery dyed spray produced by certain ground sprayers in cotton. Bull. Ent. Soc., Egypt 19:221-7.
- Hindy, M.A.(1989): Residual activity of certain insecticides as affected by aerial application parameters. Ph.D. Thesis. Fac. Agric. Ain Shams Univ., Egypt, 177 pp.
- Hindy, M.A.; El-Sayed, A.M.; Abd El-Salam, S.M. and Samy, M.A. (1997): Qualitative assessment of certain insecticides applied by different ground sprayers against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Geen.) on eggplant. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 75 (3): 565-577.

- Hindy, M.A.;Bakr, R.F.; Genidy, N.A. and Dar, R.A. (2004) :Qualitative distribution of certain insecticides deposits and artificial targets on the cotton leafworm larvae by using certain ground spraying equipment on cotton plants .J. Egypt . Acad . Soc . Environ . Develop . (A . Entomology) , 5 (2) : 91-112
- Magdoline, A. S.;Mohamed K. E. and Safwat, H. Y. (1992): Less soil contamination with pesticides through modification and implementation of ground application techniques.Egypt.J.Appl.Sci.,7(7):157-170.
- Matthews,G.A.(1992):Pesticide application methods.2nd edition,Longman Harlow Publ.,U.K.,405 PP.
- Reichard, D.L., Retzer, H.J. Liljedahi L.A. and Hall F.R. (1977): Spray droplet size distribution delivered by air blast orchard sprayers. Trans. ASAE 20(1):232-7,242.
- SAS, (1996): Statistical analysis system. SAS user's guide: statistics. SAS Institute Inc. Editors, Cary, NC.
- Yates, W.E., and Cowden, R.E. (1985): Drop size spectra from low drift systems. Trans. ASAE paper No- AA85-002(22pp.)

دراسة نشاط الاثر الباقي لبعض الالات الرش الأرضية لمكافحة من الفول باستخدام مبيد البريميبيكارب على نبات الفول البلدي في مصر
رحاب عبد المطلب عبد المقصود¹ و ليلى عباده محمد سليمان²
1- قسم بحوث تكنولوجيا الرش ، معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات- الدقي – الجيزة
2- قسم بحوث ديدان اللوز ، معهد بحوث وقاية النباتات- الدقي – الجيزة

أجريت التجارب الحقلية في مساحة 2 فدان مزروعة فول بلدي صنف (سخا 2) أثناء موسم 2014 في يوم 12 ديسمبر في سخا – محافظة كفر الشيخ (مصر) . تم تقسيم المنطقة المختارة الى (7) معاملات شاملة الكنترول . و تم رش مبيد بريبيكارب بالجرعة الكاملة و4\3 الجرعة الكاملة باستخدام ثلاث معدات رش أرضية وهي الرشاشة الاقتصادية ميكرون اولفا (15 لترافدان) والرشاشة صولو (22 لترافدان) وموتور الرش التقليدي ويسكونسون (400 لترافدان) وتم رش جميع المعاملات رش هديفا .

أوضحت النتائج أن كلا الجرعتان حققا خفض وتأثير سلبي على حشرات من الفول بالالات الرش الثلاث . و كانت الجرعة الكاملة أكثر كفاءة وحقت الرشاشة صولو (22 لترافدان) افضل النتائج من حيث الكفاءة واحجام القطيرات والتغطية على سطح النبات . و من ذلك يمكن التوصية باستخدام بريبيكارب بالجرعة الكاملة باستخدام معدات أرضية ذات حجوم رش قليلة التي لا تقل عن (15 لترافدان) والحصول على نتائج مكافحة مرضية مع تحقيق وفرة في كميات المياه اللازمة للفدان و تقليل الفاقد من الرش الأرضي بين النباتات مقارنة بموتور الرش الأرضي .

وحقت الرشاشة صولو اعلى معدل كفاءة قدره 10 فدان ايوم بينما حقق الموتور الارضى ويسكونسون اقل معدل كفاءة في الرش وقدرها 2,5 فدان /يوم .

Table(4):Reduction percentages in *Aphis fabae* affected by Primicarb insecticides sprayed with certain ground equipment during the season (2014), using the total recommended and 3/4 recommended dose .

Equipment treatment	Counted larvae before treatment			% Reduction after 3 days of 1 st spray						Counted larvae before 2 nd spray			% Reduction after 3 days of 2 nd spray						General mean reduction % of two sprays		
	C	R%	C	R%	C	R%	C	R%	C	C	C	C	R%	C	R%	C	R%	R%	R%	R%	
Primicarb 37.5gm	31	34	28	6	81	3	91	11	61	17	15	30	3	82	0	100	7	77	81.5	95.5	69
Primicarb 50 gm/100Lwate	29	33	35	5	83	0	100	9	74	13	12	19	0	100	0	100	0	100	91.5	100	87
Untreated	33	30	32	32	-	29	-	32	-	14	16	12	14	-	15	-	12	-	-	-	-

C= count of life larvae after treatment R= % Reduction of larvae