

THE APPLICATION OF MATHEMATICAL PROGRAMMING
IN THE DESIGN OF AXIALLY LOADED MEMBERS (I)

BY

DR. SOAD MOHAMED SERAG

ABSTRACT:

This paper outlines the application of mathematical programming in the optimum design of machine elements. We adopt the technique of geometric programming in this study for the design of axially loaded members subjected to structural constraints with various degrees of complexity. A following paper will include a comparative study between the proposed design procedure and the traditional optimal design procedures.

1- INTRODUCTION:

The optimal design of machine member has always been of great interest. As the machine member constitute a structural component in the integrated design, the design parameters are normally subjected to limitations or structural constraints, the design criterion, on the other hand strongly depend on the application.

Thus, the optimal design problem could finally be formulated as a mathematical programme with an objective function subject to constraints.

The difficulty of application of this concept emanates from the fact that both the objective function and/or the constraints are nonlinear in nature. The size of real design problem-with the above mentioned non-linearity feature was-in many cases-challenging.

Dr. Soad Mohamed Serag, B.Sc., Ph.D., Lecturer, Production Engineering & Machine Design Dept., Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Menoufia University.

However the technique of geometric programming in the last decade offered an efficient tool to deal with many engineering problems. It is remarkable, that the application of this technique in the field of machine design is still in its preliminary stages.

This paper (I) investigates the application of geometric programming in the design of axially loaded members. A following paper (II) will report a comparative study with the traditional optimal design procedures and the efficiency of computational algorithm.

2- TENSILE BARS WITH STATIC AXIAL LOADS:

The design problem in Fig. (1) depicts the structural constraint of an axially loaded machine member, the length is restricted by

$$L_{min} \leq L \leq L_{max} \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

and the diameter is restricted by

$$d \leq d_{max} \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

the weight of the machine elements is

$$W = w(\pi d^2/4) \cdot L \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

w = is the density.

The cost of the part C is estimated to be

$$C = \sum_i C_{o_i} \cdot d^a \cdot L^b \cdot S^c \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

C_o, a, b is known manufacturing constant, i the number of cost attributes inherent in the total manufacturing cost.

The allowable strength τ_{max} is given by

$$\tau_{max} \leq S_y/2N \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

S_y = published tensile strength
 N = Factor of safety

Since

$$\max = \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) F / \left(\frac{\pi d^2}{4}\right) \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

The optimal design problem, for minimum cost criterion may be cited as:-

Minimize

$$C = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{oi} d^{\alpha_i} L^{\beta_i} s_y^{\gamma_i} \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

subject to

$$\frac{2N}{s_y} \frac{4F}{\pi d^2} \leq 1 \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

$$d/d_{\max} \leq 1 \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

$$L/L_{\max} \leq 1$$

$$L/L_{\min} \geq 1$$

let $C_{oi} = C_{oi} s_y^{\gamma_i}$

$$\frac{8NF}{S_y \pi} = C_{11}$$

$$\frac{1}{d_{\max}} = C_{21} \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

$$\frac{1}{L_{\max}} = C_{31}$$

$$L_{\min} = C_{41}$$

Then our problem is

Minimize $C = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{oi} d^{\alpha_i} L^{\beta_i} \dots\dots\dots(8)$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned}
 C11 \ d^{-2} &\leq 1 \\
 C21 \ d &\leq 1 \\
 C31 \ L &\leq 1 \\
 C41 \ L^{-1} &\leq 1
 \end{aligned}
 \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

Consider the case of $i=2$

The dual problem is

Maximize

$$d(w) = \sum_{i=1}^2 (C_{oi}) w_{oi} \left(\frac{C_{11}}{w_{11}}\right) w_{11} \left(\frac{C_{21}}{w_{21}}\right) w_{21} \left(\frac{C_{31}}{w_{31}}\right) w_{31} \left(\frac{C_{41}}{w_{41}}\right) w_{41} \dots(10)$$

Subject to:-

$$\begin{aligned}
 w_{o1} + w_{o2} &= 1 \\
 \alpha_1 w_{o1} + B_1 w_{o2} - 2w_{11} + w_{21} &= 0 \\
 \alpha_2 w_{o1} + B_2 w_{o2} + w_{31} - w_{41} &= 0 \\
 w_{oi}, w_{11}, w_{21}, w_{31}, w_{41} &\geq 0
 \end{aligned}
 \dots\dots\dots(11)$$

3- TENSILE BARS WITH VARYING AXIAL LOADS:

The design of coupling study with repeated energy loading is considered

3-1 Simple case

For the simple case the subsidiary design equations are:-

$$\Delta = \frac{(F_{max}) L}{(\pi d^2/4) E} \dots\dots\dots(12)$$

$$\sigma_{max} = \frac{F_{max}}{(\pi d^2/4)} \dots\dots\dots(13)$$

$$\text{Where } \sigma_1 \text{ max} \leq \frac{2 \cdot se}{(1 + se/sy) N} \dots\dots\dots(14)$$

E = youngs Modulous

Δ = Maximum axial Elongation
 Se = Fatigue strength.

By adding the structural limitation and the same objective criterion, our primal problem is:-

$$\text{Min } z = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{oi} d^{\alpha_i} L^{\beta_i} \dots\dots\dots(15)$$

subject to:-

$$\left(\frac{4 F_{\max}}{\pi E}\right) L d^{-2} \leq 1$$

$$\left(\frac{2 F_{\max} (1+ se/sy) N}{\pi se}\right) d^{-2} \leq 1 \dots\dots\dots(16)$$

$$\frac{1}{d_{\max}} d \leq 1$$

$$\frac{1}{L_{\max}} L \leq 1$$

$$L_{\min} L^{-1} \leq 1$$

Let $i=2$, $\left(\frac{4 F_{\max}}{\pi E}\right) = C_{11}$, $\frac{2 F_{\max} (1+se/sy) N}{\pi se} = C_{21}$,

$$\frac{1}{d_{\max}} = C_{31} , \frac{1}{L_{\max}} = C_{41} , L_{\min} = C_{51}$$

Our dual problem is this:-

$$\text{max. } \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{C_{oi}}{w_{oi}}\right)^{w_{oi}} \left(\frac{C_{11}}{w_{11}}\right)^{w_{11}} \left(\frac{C_{21}}{w_{21}}\right)^{w_{21}} \left(\frac{C_{31}}{w_{31}}\right)^{w_{31}} \left(\frac{C_{41}}{w_{41}}\right)^{w_{41}} \left(\frac{C_{51}}{w_{51}}\right)^{w_{51}} \dots\dots\dots(17)$$

Subject to:-

$$w_{o1} + w_{o2} = 1$$

$$\alpha_1 w_{o1} + B_1 w_{o2} - 2 w_{11} - 2 w_{21} + w_{o1} = 0 \dots\dots(18)$$

$$\alpha_2 w_{o1} + B_2 + w_{11} + w_{41} - w_{51} = 0$$

3-2 THE COMPLEX CASE:-

In the complex case depicted in Fig. (3), for a study The total absorbed energy (P.E) is given by

$$(P.E) = (P.E)_1 + (P.E)_2 = \frac{2 (F_{max}) L_1}{\pi d_1^2 E} + \frac{2 (F_{max}) L_2}{\pi d_2^2 E}$$

$$= \frac{2 (F_{max})}{\pi E} \left(\frac{L_1}{d_1^2} + \frac{L_2}{d_2^2} \right) \dots (19)$$

Equation (19) constitute the primary design equation. The subsidiary design equations will be based on significant stress

$$(\sigma_A)_{max} = K_A \frac{F_{max}}{\left(\frac{\pi d^2}{4} \right)}$$

$$(\sigma_B)_{max} = K_B \frac{F_{max}}{\left(\frac{\pi d_1^2}{4} \right)} \dots \dots \dots (20)$$

$$(\sigma_R)_{max} = K_r \frac{F_{max}}{\left(\frac{\pi d_r^2}{4} \right)}$$

Where A,B,r designate shoulder and fillet regions shown in Fig. (3).

One of three equation in (20) will dominate the other two. Limit equations of the problem are:-

σ_1 = Principle stress

$$(\sigma_1)_{max} = \frac{2 s_e}{(1 + s_c/s_y)}$$

$$d_1 \leq d_1 \text{ max} \dots \dots \dots (21)$$

$$d_2 \leq d_2 \text{ max}$$

$$L_T \text{ (Min)} \leq L_T = L_1 + L_2 \leq L \text{ (max)}$$

It is natural to assume that r (max) dominate as it is always possible to select r₁, r₂ to satisfy this condition,

substituting we get

$$(P.E) = \frac{\Pi (\sigma_r)^2 \max d_4^4}{8 E K_r^2} \left[\frac{L1}{d1^2} + \frac{L2}{d2^2} \right]$$

Let $\sigma_r = \sigma_{1_{max}}$ then

$$(P.E) = \frac{\Pi}{2} \left(\frac{Sc^2}{(1+p)^2} \right) \frac{dr^4}{N2 K_r^2} \left[\frac{L1}{d1^2} + \frac{L2}{d2^2} \right] \dots\dots\dots(22)$$

The optimal design problem can be cited as follows:-

$$\text{Max. P.E} \dots\dots\dots(23)$$

Subject to:-

$$\begin{aligned} d1 &\leq d1_{max} \\ d2 &\leq d2_{max} \\ L1 + L2 &\leq L_{T_{max}} \dots\dots\dots(24) \\ L1 + L2 &\leq L_{t_{min}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_i C_{oi} d1^{\alpha_i} d2^{\beta_i} L1^{\gamma_i} L2^{\delta_i} \leq C \dots\dots\dots(25)$$

Equation (25) is the "design" cost constraint
 Some difficulties are faced in this design problem. Firstly the factor K_r which depend on dr This can be overcome by assuming

$$K_r = K_s (dr)^{Q_s} \dots\dots\dots(26)$$

Also it is feasible to assume that:-

$$\frac{dr}{d1} \leq A_s \dots\dots\dots(27)$$

Where K_s, Q_s, A_s depend on the particular threading system.
The Problem thus will be:-

$$\text{Max} = \frac{\pi}{2EN} \left(\frac{se}{1+se/sy} \right) d_r^{(4-2Q_s)} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{L_1}{d_2} + \frac{L_2}{d_2} \\ 1 \quad 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subject:-

$$d_1 \leq d_{1_{\max}}$$

$$d_2 \leq d_{2_{\max}}$$

$$L_1 + L_2 \leq L_{T_{\max}}$$

$$L_1 + L_2 \geq L_{T_{\min}}$$

$$\frac{1}{A_s} d_r d^{-1} \leq 1$$

$$\sum_i C_{oi} \alpha_{1i} d_1^{\alpha_{2i}} L_1^{\beta_{1i}} L_2^{\beta_{2i}} \leq c$$

4- SOLUTION:

The above models are solved by mathematical programming techniques, for various examples on computers. The comparative study will be reported and discussed in following paper (II).

5- REFERENCES:

- 1- Duffin, R.Y.G.L Peterson and C.Zener "Geometric Programming" N.Y. 1967
- 2- Willard, Y.Zangwill "Non-Linear Programming" Prentice Hall Inc. 1967.
- 3- U. Passy "Modular Design -An Application of Structured Geometric Programming". Jr. Orsa Vol. 18 No. 3 pp 471-480 1970
- 4- Ben Israel, Luis Pascal "Vector Valued Criterion in Geometric Programming" Jr. Orsa Vol 19 No. 1 pp 98-104.
- 5- Nasser, Srhan, Sefin "Optimum Design of the Gear-Box for Maching Tool by Geometric Programming" Eng. Res. Buleten, Menoufia University Vol II., Part I 1979.

APPENDIX

Geometric programming (G.P.) is a relatively new topic developed for solving algebraic non-linear programming problems subject to non linear constraints. In mathematical programming, two problems can be constructed, one is called the primal and a corresponding one called the dual.

The G.P technique relies heavily on the dual.

We state the generalized (G.P) Problem.

$$\text{Minimize } y_0(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T_0} \text{hot cot} \prod_{n=1}^N x_n^{\text{aotn}} \quad (\text{A1})$$

Subject to:-

$$y_m(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T_m} \text{hmt cmt} \prod_{h=1}^N x_n^{\text{aotn}} \quad m=1,2,\dots,M \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$\text{hot, hmt} = \pm 1, \text{ cot, cmt} > 0$$

$$\text{amtn, aotn} \text{ unrestricted in sign}$$

$$t_m = \text{No. of terms in the } m\text{th constraint}$$

$$t_0 = \text{No. of terms in the objective function}$$

For the case when all hmt, hot = ± 1 , we have a posynomial G.P problem.

The primal Problem is

$$\text{Minimize } y_0(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T_0} \text{cot} \prod_{n=1}^N x_n^{\text{aotn}} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Subject to

$$y_m(x) = \sum_{t=1}^{T_m} \text{cmt} \prod_{n=1}^N x_n^{\text{aotn}} \\ \text{cot, cmt} > 0$$

The dual problem is

$$\text{Maximize } d(w) = \prod_{m=0}^M \prod_{t=1}^{T_m} \left(\frac{C_{mt} \cdot w_{mt}}{w_{mt}} \right)^{w_{mt}} \quad (\text{A5})$$

Subject to

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T_0} w_{0t} = 1 \\ \sum_{m=1}^M \sum_{t=1}^{T_m} \text{amtn} w_{mt} = 0 \quad n=1,2,\dots,N \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$W_{m0} = \sum_{t=1}^{T_m} W_{mt} \quad m=1, \dots, M \quad (A7)$$

Provided that we define $w_{00} = 1$

$$T = \sum_{m=0}^M T_m \quad (A8)$$

From the duality theorem if X^* is the optimal primal solution and W^* is the optimal dual solution then

$$d(W)^* = (X)^* \quad (A9)$$

Hence for the terms is the objective function the following relationship at optimality holds:-

$$W_0(X)^* = c_0 + \prod_{n=1}^N \prod_{t=1}^{T_0} x_n^{a_{0tm}} \quad (A10)$$

At last we like to mention that for the ith term of the mth constraint the following relation-ship holds:-

$$S_{.mt} = \frac{W_{.mt}}{\sum W_{.mt}} \quad C_{mt} \quad \prod_{n=1}^N x_n^{a_{mnt}} \quad (A11)$$

Where w_{mt} is the generalized weight for the tth term in the mth constraint.

استخدام البرامج الرياضية في تصميم
الاطراف محوره الحمل (1)
الدكتور :- معاد سراج

في هذا البحث تقدم مياقسة تكاملية لتصميم الاطراف المحورية الحمل
باستخدام الرجة الهندسية في نماذج مقارنه التعقيد - واخافه قهود
جديدة للتصميم تتيج للمصم التعبير عن المسألة قهه الدراسة
في صورة أكثر واقعية .

وسوف يتبع هذا البحث بحث تكملي لناقسة طرق الحل
باستخدام الحاسبات الالكترونية ومد الدراسة للحالة العامة للتصميم .