

## GROUPS OF HOMOLIOGY AND HOMOTOPY OF

### $\Theta$ - TOPOLOGY

**Shoukry I. Nada**

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science,  
Menoufia University, Shebein El-Koom, Egypt.*

### INTRODUCTION

Our aim in this paper is to describe the groups of homology and the fundamental group  $\pi_1$  of the  $\Theta$ -topology,  $R\Theta R$ , which is the finest topology on the ground  $R^2$  that induces the Euclidean topology on every line,  $\ell_\theta$ ; with slope  $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq \{\theta: 0 \leq \theta < \pi\}$ , this will take place in section 3. In section 2 we study some topological properties of the  $\Theta$ -topology and show some differences between this topology and the Euclidean topology  $R\pi R$ .

#### 2. Connectness and Countability

It is obvious that  $R\pi R$  satisfies the definition of  $R\Theta R$  whatever  $\Theta$  is (even  $\Theta = \{\theta : 0 < \theta < \pi\}$ ) i.e. each open set in Euclidean topology is open in the  $\Theta$ -topology, hence the later one is finer than the other. So the  $R\Theta R$  is Hausdorff.

##### 2.1 Theorem

*Shoukry I. Nada*

$R \oplus R$  is pathwise connected if and only if  $\Theta$  has more than one element.

**Proof**

Let  $x \neq y$  in  $R^2$ ; then one can use the fact that  $R$  with the Euclidean topology is pathwise connected to show that there are two paths  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  such that  $\rho_1$  is a path in the direction  $\ell_{\theta_1}$  and  $\rho_2$  is a path in the direction  $\ell_{\theta_2}$ , where  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$ . But since the composition of two paths is again a path, it follows that  $R \oplus R$  is a pathwise connected space.

To prove the converse, we assume that  $\Theta$  has just one element, say  $\theta$ , and let  $x, y$  be two points not in the same line  $\ell_\theta$ . Then there is no way to find a path from  $x$  to  $y$  in the topological space  $R \oplus R$ .

**2.2 Corollary**

$R \oplus R$  is connected iff  $\Theta$  has more than one element. Since the motion of a convergent sequence does not depend on the sequence itself only, but also depends on the structure of the underlying space. We deduce.

**2.3 Theorem**

A path  $\rho : [0, 1] \rightarrow R \oplus R$  from  $z_1$  to  $z_2$  has image  $\rho([0, 1])$  which is contained in a finite number of connected segments with directions being in  $\Theta$ .

**proof**

Since  $I = [0, 1]$  is compact and  $\rho$  is continuous,  $\rho(I)$  is so, and consequently it is contained in a finite number of lines with slopes in  $\Theta$ . Also  $\rho(I)$  is connected, it follows that  $\rho(I) = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \ell_{\theta_i}$  with the

*Groups of Homology and Homotopy of .....*

property that  $I_j \cap I_i = \emptyset \forall i \neq j$  and  $I_{\theta_i}$ , represents a segment in the line

$$\ell_{\theta_i}, \theta_i \in \Theta.$$

**2.4 Definition**

Let  $z$  be a point in  $R\Theta R$ . An open interval  $I_\theta$  which lies on  $\ell_\theta$ ,  $\theta \in \Theta$ , and contains  $z$  is called a  $\Theta$ -interval at  $z$ . a  $\Theta$ -star at  $z$  is defined as :

$$\Theta(z) = \bigcup_{\theta \in \Theta} I_\theta(z)$$

Obviously,  $\Theta(z)$  is connected in  $R\Theta R$ .

**2.5 Lemma [2]**

A set  $U$  is  $R\Theta R$  - open if and only if for each  $Z \in U$ ,  $U$  contains  $\Theta$  - star at  $Z$ .

**2.6 Theorem**

$R\Theta R$  is locally connected .

**proof**

we shall prove that the connected open sets of  $R\Theta R$  forms a basis for its topology. Let  $U$  be an open set of  $R\Theta R$  and  $z \in R\Theta R$ . Then, by lemma (2.5) there is a  $\Theta$ -star  $N_1$  at  $z$  and  $N_1 \subseteq U$ . For each  $Z \in N_1$ ,  $U$  is a nbd. of  $Z$  and consequently there exists a  $\Theta(Z)$  such that  $\Theta(Z) \subseteq U$ . Since  $Z \in N_1 \cap \Theta(Z)$  and since both  $N_1$ , and  $\Theta(Z)$  are connected, it follows that  $N_2 = N_1 \cup (\bigcup_{z \in N_1} \Theta(Z))$  is connected. We continue in this way, so  $N_{r+1} = N_r \cup$

*Shoukry I. Nada*

$\bigcup_{z \in N} \Theta(z)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Obviously  $N_{r+1} \subset N_r$ . But  $N(z) = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} N_r$ . Then  $N(z) \subseteq U$  and  $N(z)$  is connected. Also by construction  $N(z)$  contains a  $\Theta$ -star for each of all its points, so by the previous lemma  $N(z)$  is open.

The underlying space has some disadvantages. One of these is the following.

### 2.7 Theorem

If  $\Theta$  has more than one element, then  $R\Theta R$  is not first countable.

#### proof

Suppose not, then there would be a countable open base  $\{V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  at  $z \in R\Theta R$ . Let us also suppose  $V_n \supseteq V_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . One then can construct a  $\Theta$ -sequence  $Z = \langle z_n \rangle, z_n \in V_n$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$  in  $R\tau R$ . Choosing  $z_n \in V_n \cap N_{1/n}(z)$ . Where  $N_{1/n}(z)$  is the Euclidean open nbd. Of  $z$  of radius  $1/n$  and  $W = V_1 - Z$ , one can see  $W$  is and  $R\Theta R$ -open set,  $z \in W$ . So  $W$  is a nbd. Of  $z$  and contains no  $z_n$ ; hence  $\{V_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is not a nbd. base.

From the above theorem one can conclude that  $R\Theta R$  is not metrizable. There are a limited number of  $T_2$ -space which are not  $T_3$ -space and in our hand one of such spaces. It is the  $\Theta$ -space.

### 3. Homology and Homotopy of $R\Theta R$

A space  $X$  is contractible to a point  $x_0 \in X$  with  $x_0$  held fixed if there is a map

$$\begin{aligned} F: X \times [0,1] &\rightarrow X && \text{such that} \\ F(x,0) &= x_0 && x \in X \\ F(x,1) &= x \end{aligned}$$

## *Groups of Homology and Homotopy of .....*

$$F(x_0, t) = x_0 \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

A space  $X$  is simply connected if  $X$  is pathwise connected and its fundamental group  $\pi_1(X) \cong 0$ .

It is well known that each contractible space is simply connected [1].

Let us prove that

### **3.1 Lemma :**

$R \odot R$  is contractible

**Proof:**

Define  $F: R \odot R \times [0, 1] \rightarrow R \odot R$

$$(x, t) \rightarrow (1-t)x.$$

This is a continuous map and meets the conditions of contractibility and the identity map on  $R \odot R$  is homotopic to a constant map.

Then we conclude the fundamental of  $R \odot R$  is trivial

i.e.  $\pi_1(R \odot R) \cong 0$ .

It is known that if each two spaces are homotopy equivalent, then their homology groups are isomorphic. Thus

$$H_0(R \odot R) = Z \text{ and } H_n(R \odot R) = 0 \text{ for all } n \geq 1$$

This comes by knowing the homology groups of  $R \pi R$ .

We therefore have in our hands a counter example of non-metric space which has the same homology groups of the Euclidean space on the base set  $R$ .

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] Greenberg, M. and Harper, J. Algebraic topology - A first course, Benjamin-Cummings publishing Company, Massachusetts (1981).
- [2] Nada, S. Spaces for plane with weak topologies. M. Sc. Thesis, Sheffield University, Sheffield (1983).

*Shoukry I. Nada*

### ⊕ مجموعات الهومولوجي والهومتوبي للفراغ

شكري ابراهيم ندا

كلية العلوم - جامعة المنوفية

الملخص العربي

تم استنتاج مجموعات الهومولوجي والهومتوبي لهذا الفراغ وبذلك أصبح لدينا فراغ ليس متريا وله نفس مجموعات الهومولوجي والهومتوبي للفراغ الاقليدي بالمستوى.